Peace Palace Library

Hague Academy of International Law
Centre for Studies and Research in International Law and International Relations
August 16 – September 3, 2021

Applicable Law Issues in International Arbitration: Selective Bibliography

Raymond J.W. Ridderhof, with contributions by Mathiaes A. Globel
Peace Palace Library, The Hague

Introductory Note
This bibliography has been compiled exclusively from materials available in the Peace Palace Library for the use of the researchers of the Centre for Studies and Research in International Law and International Relations of the Hague Academy of International Law. Originally published in 2021, its bibliographic content will be completed in 2022.

Directors of Research:
Prof. Giuditta Cordero-Moss (University of Oslo)
Prof. Diego Fernández Arroyo (Sciences Po, Paris)

International arbitration has long been the most successful method for settling all kinds of international commercial disputes, and still is – notwithstanding the surrounding criticism – the leading method for settling disputes between foreign investors and the host state. One of the characteristics of international arbitration is that it to a large extent relies on an international or transnational legal framework. The effects of arbitration agreements and of arbitral awards, as well as the role of the courts regarding arbitration agreements and awards, are regulated in international conventions such as the New York or the ICSID Conventions. Furthermore, although there is room for specificities of national law, commercial arbitration acts are largely harmonised especially through the impact of the UNCITRAL Model Law. Similarly, even if arbitral institutions try to distinguish one from each other by providing for some specific tools, the essential content of arbitration rules does not vary. It can be said, consequently, that the transnational framework of arbitration is intended to create to the extent possible an autonomous system of dispute resolution, which can be applied in a uniform way irrespective of the country in which the proceedings take place or the award is sought enforced. The procedural autonomy of arbitration may also have an impact on how arbitral tribunals relate to the substance of the dispute.

As arbitral awards are final and binding, and domestic courts and ICSID annulment committees do not have the power to review them in the merits, arbitral tribunals enjoy a considerable flexibility in selecting and applying the rules of law applicable to the dispute, even though they are constrained to respect the will of the parties. Legal literature has

strongly emphasized that this flexibility creates an expectation of delocalization: both from the procedural and from the substantive point of view, arbitration is described as a method for settling disputes that strives for uniformity on a transnational level and should not be subject to national laws. The autonomy and flexibility of arbitration, however, are not absolute. The international instruments that regulate arbitration either make, in some contexts, reference to national law or call for the application of (general or concrete) international law. Also, they do not cover all aspects of arbitration, thus leaving room for national regulation. Additionally, the restricted role that courts and ICSID ad hoc committees have in arbitration does not completely exclude that national law may have an impact. While court and committee control is not a review in the merits, application of the parameters for validity or enforceability of an award, even where these parameters are harmonised, may depend on national regulation.

Importantly, the definition of what disputes are arbitrable is left to national law. While the scope of arbitrability has been significantly expanded starting from the last two decades of the last century, there are signs now that it may be restricting. The scope of arbitrability may be looked upon as a measure of the trust that the legal system has in arbitration. From another perspective, it may represent the way in which States approach the settlement of international commercial disputes: intending to keep an exclusive power by means of the exclusion of private deciders,or adopting the role of controllers of the regularity of arbitration. As far as investment arbitration is specifically concerned, it is well known that States' attitudes are diverse and may change from time to time. In both cases, States' policy choices may have an impact on applicable law issues.

All the foregoing considerations, succinctly exposed, are the frame for the present topic. On such a basis, it is possible to develop two lists of issues to be individually addressed. The first list deals with the fundamental aspects of the topic. Among the issues included therein, some refer to all types of arbitration, while others are rather specific to either commercial or investment arbitration. The second list responds to the fact that the applicable law is not necessarily unitary. Indeed, according to the principle of severability, a different law may apply to the procedural aspects and to the substantive aspects of the dispute, and within these two categories there are further possibilities for severing the applicable law. Thus, one can wonder to which issues is it appropriate to apply international sources of law, to which issues is it appropriate to apply soft sources of law, to which is it appropriate to apply national sources of law, and to which issues is it appropriate to apply (or to create) transnational standards. Or a combination of these sources? On which basis may this selection be made, and what are its effects on the autonomy of arbitration, on the expectations of the parties and on the credibility and legitimacy of arbitration as an out-of-court judicial system that enjoys enforceability?

Académie de droit international de La Haye
Centre d'étude et de recherche de droit international et de relations internationales
16 août – 3 septembre 2021

Questions de droit applicable dans l'arbitrage international: bibliographie sélective

Raymond J.W. Ridderhof, avec l'assistance de Mathiaes A. Globel
Bibliothèque du palais de la Paix, La Haye

Note introductive
Cette bibliographie a été préparée sur la seule base des matériaux de la Bibliothèque du Palais de la Paix à l'usage des chercheurs du Centre d'étude et de recherche de droit international et de relations internationales de l'Académie de droit international de La Haye. L'information bibliographique d'origine, publiée en 2021, a été complétée jusqu'en 2022.

Directeurs de recherche:
Prof. Giuditta Cordero-Moss (University of Oslo)
Prof. Diego Fernández Arroyo (Sciences Po, Paris)

L'arbitrage international est depuis longtemps la méthode la plus efficace pour régler toutes sortes de différends commerciaux internationaux et, malgré les critiques qui l'entourent, il demeure la principale méthode de règlement des différends entre les investisseurs étrangers et l'État hôte. L'une des caractéristiques de l'arbitrage international est qu'il repose dans une large mesure sur un cadre juridique international ou transnational. Les effets des conventions d'arbitrage et des sentences arbitrales, ainsi que le rôle des tribunaux en matière de conventions et de sentences arbitrales, sont régis par des conventions internationales telles que la Convention de New York ou la Convention de Washington. En outre, bien qu'il y ait place pour des spécificités du droit national, les actes d'arbitrage commercial sont largement harmonisés, en particulier grâce à l'impact de la Loi type de la CNUDCI. De même, même si les institutions arbitrales tentent de se distinguer les unes des autres en prévoyant des outils spécifiques, le contenu essentiel des règles d'arbitrage ne varie pas. On peut donc dire que le cadre transnational de l'arbitrage vise à créer, dans la mesure du possible, un système autonome de règlement des différends, qui peut être appliqué de manière uniforme quel que soit le pays dans lequel la procédure se déroule ou la sentence est demandée. L'autonomie procédurale de l'arbitrage peut également avoir une incidence sur la façon dont les tribunaux arbitraux se rapportent au fond du litige.

Les sentences arbitrales étant définitives et contraignantes, et les tribunaux nationaux et les comités d'annulation du CIRDI n'ayant pas le pouvoir de les examiner sur le fond, les tribunaux arbitraux jouissent d'une grande souplesse dans le choix et l'application des règles de droit applicables au litige, même s'ils sont tenus de respecter la volonté des parties. La

littérature juridique a fortement insisté sur le fait que cette souplesse crée une attente de délocalisation : tant du point de vue de la procédure que du point de vue du fond, l'arbitrage est décrit comme une méthode de règlement des différends qui vise l'uniformité au niveau transnational et ne devrait pas être soumise aux lois nationales. L'autonomie et la souplesse de l'arbitrage ne sont cependant pas absolues. Les instruments internationaux qui réglementent l'arbitrage font, dans certains contextes, référence au droit national ou appellent à l'application du droit international (général ou concret). De plus, elles ne couvrent pas tous les aspects de l'arbitrage, ce qui laisse une place à la réglementation nationale. En outre, le rôle restreint que les tribunaux et les comités ad hoc du CIRDI ont dans l'arbitrage n'exclut pas complètement que le droit national puisse avoir un impact. Bien que le contrôle des tribunaux et des comités ne soit pas un examen au fond, l'application des paramètres de validité ou d'exécution d'une sentence, même lorsque ces paramètres sont harmonisés, peut dépendre de la réglementation nationale.

Il est important de noter que la définition de ce qui constitue un litige arbitrable est laissée au droit national. Bien que le champ d'application de l'arbitrabilité ait été considérablement élargi depuis les deux dernières décennies du siècle dernier, certains signes indiquent maintenant qu'il pourrait être restrictif. La portée de l'arbitrabilité peut être considérée comme une mesure de la confiance que le système juridique accorde à l'arbitrage. D'un autre point de vue, elle peut représenter la manière dont les Etats abordent le règlement des différends commerciaux internationaux : vouloir conserver un pouvoir exclusif par l'exclusion des décideurs privés, ou adopter le rôle de contrôleurs de la régularité de l'arbitrage. En ce qui concerne spécifiquement l'arbitrage en matière d'investissement, il est bien connu que les attitudes des États sont diverses et peuvent changer de temps à autre. Dans les deux cas, les choix politiques des États peuvent avoir une incidence sur les questions de droit applicable.

Toutes les considérations qui précèdent, succinctement exposées, constituent le cadre du présent sujet. Sur cette base, il est possible d'établir deux listes de questions à traiter individuellement. La première liste traite des aspects fondamentaux du sujet. Parmi les questions qui y sont abordées, certaines portent sur tous les types d'arbitrage, tandis que d'autres sont plutôt spécifiques à l'arbitrage commercial ou d'investissement. La deuxième liste répond au fait que la loi applicable n'est pas nécessairement unitaire. En effet, selon le principe de la divisibilité, une loi différente peut s'appliquer aux aspects procéduraux et aux aspects de fond du litige, et au sein de ces deux catégories il existe d'autres possibilités de diviser la loi applicable. On peut donc se demander à quelles questions il convient d'appliquer les sources de droit international, à quelles questions il convient d'appliquer les sources de droit non contraignantes, à quelles questions il convient d'appliquer les sources de droit national et à quelles questions il convient d'appliquer (ou de créer) des normes transnationales. Ou une combinaison de ces sources ? Sur quelle base cette sélection peut-elle être faite et quels sont ses effets sur l'autonomie de l'arbitrage, sur les attentes des parties et sur la crédibilité et la légitimité de l'arbitrage en tant que système judiciaire extrajudiciaire et exécutoire ?

Contents

A.

Contents

Abdala, M.A., Lopez-Zadicoff, P.D. and Spiller, P.T., "Invalid Round Trips in Setting Pre-judgment Interest in International Arbitration", *World Arbitration and Mediation Review*, 5 (2011), No. 1, pp. 1-21.
[Read Here]

Abdel Wahab, M.S., "Ascertaining the Content of the Applicable Law in International Arbitration: Converging Civil and Common Law Approaches", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 83 (2017), No. 4, pp. 412-422.
[Read Here]

Abdel Wahab, M.S., "Iura Novit Arbiter in International Commercial Arbitration: The Known Unknown", in Nassib Ziadé (ed.), *Festschrift Ahmed Sadek El-Kosheri*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International 2015, pp. 3–26.
[Read Here]

Aden, M., "Wrong answers to wrong questions? A new approach to judicial review of international arbitral awards", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XII (2015), no. 47, pp. 55-69.
[Read Here]

Aebi, M. and Frey, H., "Impact of Bankruptcy on International Arbitration Proceedings - A Special Case does not make a General Rule: Note - 31 March 2009 - Swiss Supreme Court", *ASA Bulletin*, 28 (2010), No. 1, pp. 113-123.
[Read Here]

Alekhin, S., Foucard, A. and Lourie, G., "Cybersecurity, International Arbitration and the Ethical Rules and Obligations Governing the Conduct of Lawyers: A Comparative Analysis", *Transnational Dispute Management*, 16 (2019), No. 3, pp. 1-21.
[Read Here]

Alessi, D., "Enforcing Arbitrator's Obligations: Rethinking International Commercial Arbitrator's Liability", *Journal of international arbitration*, 31 (2014), No. 6, pp. 735-784.
[Read Here]

Alnaber, R., "Emergency Arbitration: Mere Innovation or Vast Improvement", *Arbitration international*, 35 (2019), No. 4, pp. 441-472.
[Read Here]

Alvarez, J.E., "Reviewing the Use of "Soft Law" in Investment Arbitration", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 149-200.
[Place Hold]

Angoura, S. and Kastanidis, A., "Les limites de l'autonomie de la volonté en droit de l'arbitrage commercial international dans les pays incorporant la Loi-type de la CNUDCI", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 2019 (2019), No. 2, pp. 431-454.
[Read Here]

Angoura, S., "Arbitrator's Impartiality Under Article V(1)(d) of the New York Convention", *Asian international arbitration journal*, 15 (2019), No. 1, pp. 29–42.
[Place Hold]

Anischenko, A., and Dubeshka, V., "The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability, Iura Novit Arbiter in International and Belarusian Practice of Commercial Arbitration", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2020 (2020), pp. 101-113.
[Read Here]

Arias, D., "Soft Law Rules in International Arbitration: Positive Effects and Legitimation of the IBA as a Rule-Maker", *Indian Journal of Arbitration Law*, 6 (2017), No. 2, pp. 29-42.
[Read Here]

Arroyo, M. (ed.), *Arbitration in Switzerland: The Practitioner's Guide* (Second Edition) Kluwer Law International, 2018.
[Read Here]

Ashford, P., "The Proper Law of the Arbitration Agreement", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 85 (2019), No. 3, pp. 276-299.
[Read Here]

Audit, M., "La jurisprudence arbitrale comme source du droit international des investissements", in Leben, C. (ed.), *Droit international des investissements et de l'arbitrage transnational*, Paris, Editions A. Pedone, 2015, pp. 119-133.
[Place Hold]

Audit, M., "The extraterritoriality of laws in international arbitration", *The American review of international arbitration*, 29 (2018), No. 3, pp. 243-266.
[Place Hold]

Ayton, P. and Helleringer, G., "Bias, Vested Interests and Self-Deception in Judgment and Decision-Making: Challenges to Arbitrator Impartiality", in Cole, T. (ed.), *The roles of psychology in international arbitration*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 21-44.
[Read Here]

B.

Contents

Badah, S.A., "Capacity of Parties and Arbitration Agreement. Part I", *Asian international arbitration journal*, 14 (2018), No. 2, pp. 193–213.
[Read Here]

Bantekas, I., "The Proper Law of the Arbitration Clause: A Challenge to the Prevailing Orthodoxy", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 27 (2010), No. 1, pp. 1-8.
[Read Here]

Bao, C., "One Shot Players and Arbitrator Selection: A Fair Shot or a Shot in the Dark? (Chapter 2)", in: Patricia Louise Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer*, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 9–14.
[Read Here]

Balssen, E.C., and Kınıkoğlu, E., "Drafting Arbitration Agreements and Arbitrability (Chapter 3)", in Ali Yesilirmak and Ismail G. Esin (eds.), *Arbitration in Turkey*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2015, pp. 37-48.
[Read Here]

Barraclough, A. and Waincymer, J.M., "Mandatory Rules of Law in International Commercial Arbitration", *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, 6 (2005), No. 2, pp. 205-244.
[Read Here]

Bashayreh, M., "The Autonomy of Arbitrators : A Legal Analysis of the Validity of Arbitrator-Imposed Virtual Hearings in Response to the COVID-19 Crisis", *International arbitration law review*, 24 (2021), No. 1, pp. 75-91.
[Place Hold]

Bharucha, M.P., Jaisingh, S. and Gupta, S., "The Extension of Arbitration Agreements to Non-Signatories – A Global Perspective", *Indian Journal of Arbitration Law*, V (2016), No. 1, pp. 35-63.
[Read Here]

Beharry, Ch.L., "Prejudgment Interest Rates in International Investment Arbitration", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 8 (2017), No. 1, p. 56-78.
[Read Here]

Beisteiner, L., "The Award and the Courts, To Be or Not to Be an Arbitrator – On the Nature of Emergency Arbitration (Chapter III)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2020, pp. 289–332.
[Read Here]

Bell, G.F., "Soft Law in Arbitration: For Uniformity or For Diversity, Flexibility and Choice?", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 1-18.
[Place Hold]

Bělohlávek, A.J., "Arbitration versus Insolvency Proceedings from the Perspective of EU Law", in Bělohlávek, A.J. and Rozehnalová, N. (eds.), *Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards*, The Hague, Lex Lata, 2019, pp. 27-123.
[Place Hold]

Bělohlávek, A.J., "The Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement and the Arbitrability of a Disputes", *Yearbook of International Arbitration*, 3 (2013), pp. 27-57.
[Read Here]

Bělohlávek, A.J., "Law Applicable to the Merits of International Arbitration and Current Developments in European Private International Law: Conflict-of-Laws Rules and the Applicability of the Rome Convention, Rome I Regulation and Other EU Law Standards in International Arbitration", *Czech yearbook of international law*, 1 (2010), pp. 25-46.
[Place Hold]

Belova, E., "Les mesures provisoires en arbitrage d'investissement et les droits de l'homme de l'investisseur", *Revue de l'arbitrage*, (2020), No. 4, pp. 983-1048.
[Read Here]

Bentolila, D., *Arbitrators as lawmakers*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2017.
[Read Here]

Bentolila, D., "Le précédent arbitral", *Revue de l'arbitrage,* (2017), No. 4, pp. 1167-1195.
[Read Here]

Benz, S., "Strengthening Interim Measures in International Arbitration", *Georgetown journal of international law*, 50 (2018), No. 1, pp. 143-175.
[Read Here]

Berg, A.J. van den (ed.), *Improving the Efficiency of Arbitration Agreements and Awards: 40 Years of Application of the New York Convention*, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 9, Kluwer Law International, 1999.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P., "Common Law v. Civil Law in International Arbitration: The Beginning or the End?", *Journal of international arbitration*, 36 (2019), No. 3, pp. 295-313.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P. and Jensen, J.O., "Arbitrator's Mandate to Facilitate Settlement" (2016 Fordham International Arbitration & Mediation Conference Issue*), Fordham international law journal*, 40 (2017), No. 3, pp. 887-917.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P. and Jensen, J.O., "Due process paranoia and the procedural judgment rule: a safe harbour for procedural management decisions by international arbitrators", *Arbitration international*, 32 (2016), No. 3, pp. 415-435.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P., "To what extent should arbitrators respect domestic case law? The German experience regarding the Law on Standard Terms", *Arbitration international*, 32 (2016), No. 2, pp. 243-259.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P., "Re-examining the Arbitration Agreement: Applicable Law - Consensus or Confusion?", Albert Jan van den Berg (ed.), *International Arbitration 2006: Back to Basics?* Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2007, pp. 301-334.
[Read Here]

Berger, K.P., "The International Arbitrator's Application of Precedents", *Journal of international arbitration*, 9 (1992), No. 4, pp. 5-22.

[Read Here]

Bermann, G.A., "Rescuing the Federal Arbitration Act: The New Restatement on International Arbitration", *Yearbook of private international law*, 20 (2020), pp. 15-30.
[Place Hold]

Bermann, G.A, "Private international law in international arbitration", in Franco Ferrari and Diego P. Fernández Arroyo (eds.), *Private international law: contemporary challenges and continuing relevance*, Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA, USA, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2019, pp. 464-483.
[Place Hold]

Bermann, G.A. (ed.), *Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: The Interpretation and Application of the New York Convention by National Courts*, Cham, Springer, 2017.

[Read Here]

Bermann, G.A., *International Arbitration and Private International Law General Course on Private International (Volume 381),* The Hague, 2016, pp. 177-216.
[Read Here]

Bermann, G.A., "Mandatory rules of law in international arbitration", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 325-339.
[Read Here]

Bernardini, P., "The Problem of Arbitrability in general", in Gaillard, E. and Leleu-Knobil, N. (eds.), *Enforcement of arbitration agreements and international arbitral awards: the New York Convention in practice*, London, Cameron May, 2008, pp. 503-522.
[Place Hold]

Betancourt, J.C. (ed.), *Defining issues in international arbitration: celebrating 100 years of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016.
[Read Here]

Biehl, S., *Eingriffsnormen und Schiedsvereinbarungen: eine Untersuchung der Auswirkungen von Eingriffsnormen auf die Anerkennung einer internationalen Schiedsvereinbarung in der Einredesituation*, Berlin, Peter Lang, 2019.
[Place Hold]

Bishop, R.D. and Marchili, S.M., *Annulment under the ICSID Convention*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2012.
[Read Here]

Blackaby, N. and Chirinos, R., "Consideraciones sobre la aplicación del principio iura novit curia en el arbitraje comercial internacional", *Anuario colombiano de derecho internacional*, 6 (2013), pp. 77-93.
[Place Hold]

Böckstiegel, K.-H., "Public Policy as a Limit to Arbitration and Its Enforcement", *Dispute Resolution International*, 2 (2008), No. 1, pp. 123-132.
[Read Here]

Boisséson, M. de, "L'arbitre international et le problème de la qualification", in: Jean-Denis Bredin et Piero Bernardini (eds.), *Liber amicorum Claude Reymond*, Paris, LexisNexis Litec, 2004, pp. 29-42.
[Place Hold]

Bollée, S., "L'impérativité du droit choisi par les parties devant l'arbitre international", *Revue de l'arbitrage*, 2016 (2016), No. 3, pp. 675-705.
[Read Here]

Boog, C. "Interim Measures in International Arbitration", in Manuel Arroyo (ed), *Arbitration in Switzerland: The Practitioner's Guide*, 2nd edition, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 2543 – 2566.
[Read Here]

Boog, C., *Die Durchsetzung einstweiliger Massnahmen in internationalen Schiedsverfahren: aus schweizerischer Sicht, mit rechtsvergleichenden Aspekten*, Zürich, Schulthess, 2011.
[Place Hold]

Boog, C., "Interim Measures - Relevance of the Courts at the Place of Arbitration and Other Places", in Ferrari, F. (ed.), *Forum shopping in the international commercial arbitration context*, Munich, SELP, 2013, pp. 199-233.
[Place Hold]

Boog, C., "Swiss Rules of International Arbitration – Time to Introduce an Emergency Arbitrator Procedure?", *ASA bulletin*, 28 (2010), No. 3, pp. 462–477.
[Read Here]

Boog, C., "The laws governing interim measures in international arbitration", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 409-457.
[Read Here]

Boog, C. and Moss, B., "Arbitrability, Foreign Mandatory Law and the Lazy Myth of the Arbitral Tribunal's Obligation to Render an Enforceable Award", *ASA bulletin*, 31 (2013), No. 3, pp. 647-658.
[Read Here]

Boog, C. and Stoffel, B., "Preliminary Orders and the Emergency Arbitrator: Urgent Interim Relief by an Arbitral Decision Maker in Exceptional Circumstances", in Voser, N. (ed.), *10 years of Swiss Rules of International Arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2014, pp. 71-82.
[Place Hold]

Born, G.B., *International Commercial Arbitration* (Third Edition), Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2021.
[Read Here]

Born, G.B., "Formation, Validity and Legality of International Arbitration Agreements (Chapter 5)", in Gary B. Born, *International Commercial Arbitration* (Third Edition), Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2021, pp. 675-1026.
[Read Here]

Born, G.B., "Choice of Law Governing International Arbitration Agreements (Chapter 4)", in Gary B. Born, *International Commercial Arbitration* (Third Edition), Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2021, pp. 507-674.
[Read Here]

Born, G.B., Bull, C., Lim, J.W. and Chan, E., "The Law Governing Res Judicata in International Commercial Arbitration (Chapter 1)", in Neil Kaplan and Michael J. Moser (eds), *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Choice of Law in International Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles*, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 1–18.
[Read Here]

Born, G.B., *International Arbitration: Law and Practice* (Second Edition), Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2015.
[Read Here]

Born, G. B., *International Commercial Arbitration,* (Second Edition), Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2014.
[Read Here]

Born, G.B., "The Law Governing International Arbitration Agreements: An International Perspective", *Singapore Academy of Law journal*, 26 (2014), special issue, pp. 814-848.
[Read Here]

Boularbah, H., "Brèves considérations sur la nécessaire complémentarité entre l'adage "Iura novit curia" et le principe du contradictoire", in Bollée, S. et Keutgen, G. (eds.), *Le principe du contradictoire en arbitrage*, Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2017, pp. 51-75.
[Place Hold]

Boykin, J. and Havalic, M., "Fruits of the Poisonous Tree: The Admissibility of Unlawfully Obtained Evidence in International Arbitration", *TDM: Transnational Dispute Management*, 12 (2015), No. 5, pp. [38].
[Read Here]

Bravo Abolafia, L., "Implied Choice of the Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement: The Effect on Non-Signatories in International Arbitration", *ASA bulletin*, 37 (2019), No. 1, pp. 64-81.
[Read Here]

Brekoulakis, S., "Parties in International Arbitration: Consent v. Commercial Reality (Chapter 8)", in: Stavros Brekoulakis, Julian DM. Lew and Loukas A. Mistelis (eds.), *The Evolution and Future of International Arbitration*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2016, pp. 119-160.
[Read Here]

Brekoulakis, S., "Arbitrability and conflict of jurisdictions: the (diminishing) relevance of lex fori and lex loci arbitri", in Ferrari, F. and Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 117-135.
[Read Here]

Brekoulakis, S.L., Third Parties in International Commercial Arbitration, Oxford International Arbitration Series, 2010.
[Read Here]

Brower, C.N. and Rosenberg, C.B., "The Death of the Two-Headed Nightingale: Why the Paulsson–van den Berg Presumption that Party-Appointed Arbitrators are Untrustworthy is Wrongheaded", *Arbitration International*, 29 (2013), No. 1, pp. 7-44.
[Read Here]

Buckland, I.J., "A Comparative Approach to Consistent Ethical Standards in International Commercial Arbitration", Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, 85 (2019), No. 3, pp. 230-250.
[Read Here]

Burckhardt, P. and Groz, P., "The Law Governing the Merits of the Dispute and Awards ex Aequo et Bono (chapter 8)", in Elliott Geisinger and Nathalie Voser (eds.), *International Arbitration in Switzerland: A Handbook for Practicioners (second edition)*, Kluwer Law International, 2013, pp. 153-172.
[Read Here]

Burn, G., and Skelton, Z., "The Problem with Legal Privilege in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 72 (2006), No. 2, pp. 124-129.
[Read Here]

C.

Contents

Caicedo Demoulin, J.J., "L'exécution et le contrôle judiciaire des décisions prises par les arbitres d'urgence", *Revue de l'arbitrage*, 2017 (2017), No. 2, pp. 445-481.
[Read Here]

Campbell, M., "How to Determine the Law Governing an Arbitration Agreement: Direction from the UK Supreme Court", *International arbitration law review*, 24 (2021), No. 1, pp. 28-36.
[Place Hold]

Campbell, M., "The Law Applicable to International Arbitration Agreements: the English Court of Appeal Departs from Sulamérica", *International arbitration law review*, 23 (2020), No. 3, p. 193-198.
[Place Hold]

Carlevaris, A., "Limits to Party Autonomy and Institutional Rules", *European international arbitration review*, 8 (2019), No. 1, pp. 1-30.
[Place Hold]

Carlevaris, A. and Feris, J.R., "Running in the ICC Emergency Arbitrator Rules: the First Ten Cases", *The ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin*, 25 (2014), No. 1, pp. 25-38.
[Place Hold]

Carlevaris, A., "The Recognition and Enforcement of Interim Measures Ordered by International Arbitrators", *Yearbook of Private International Law*, 9 (2007), pp. 503-539.
[Place Hold]

Caroit, E. and Garcia Guerra, P., "Privilege in international arbitration: what are the trends?" *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XVII (2020), No. 66, pp. 67-90.
[Read Here]

Caron, D.D., and Caplan, L.M., *UNCITRAL arbitration rules: a commentary* (with an integrated and comparative discussion of the 2010 and 1976 UNCITRAL arbitration rules), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2013.
[Read Here]

Carreteiro, M.A., "Burden and standard of proof in international arbitration: proposed guidelines for promoting predictability", Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem, XIII (2016), No. 49, pp. 82-109.
[Read Here]

Cavalieros, P. and Kim, J., "Emergency Arbitrators Versus the Courts: From Concurrent Jurisdiction to Practical Considerations", *Journal of international arbitration*, 35 (2018), No. 3, pp. 275-306.
[Read Here]

Chan, D. and Yang, T.J., "Ascertaining the Proper Law of an Arbitration Agreement: The Artificiality of Inferring Intention When There Is None", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 5, pp. 635-648.
[Read Here]

Changaroth, A., "International Arbitration – A Consensus on Public Policy Defences?" *Asian International Arbitration Journal*, 4 (2008), No. 2, pp. 143-174.
[Read Here]

Chatterjee, C., "The reality of the party autonomy rule in international arbitration", *Journal of international arbitration*, 20 (2003), No. 6, pp. 539-560.
[Read Here]

Cheng, T., "Precedent and Control in Investment Treaty Arbitration", *Fordham international law journal*, 30 (2007), No. 4, pp. 1014-1049.
[Read Here]

Choi, D., "The Tension between Validation and Implied Intent Approaches in Finding the Law for the Agreement to Arbitrate", *International arbitration law review*, 19 (2016), No. 5, pp. 121-129.
[Place Hold]

Choo, I.M., "Procedural Soft Law in International Arbitration: Resolving the Tension between Flexibility and Predictability", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 37-70.
[Place Hold]

Chuprunov, I.S., "The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability: Effects of Contractual Assignment on an Arbitration Clause –Substantive and Private International Law Perspectives", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2012 (2012), pp. 31-61.
[Read Here]

Ciurtin, H., "A Quest for Deterritorialisation: The "New" Lex Mercatoria in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 85 (2019), No. 2, pp. 123-137.
[Read Here]

Collins, L.A., "Nullity, Invalidity, the Conflict of Laws and Articles II (3) and V(1)(A) of the New York Convention (Chapter 7)", in Franco Ferrari and Friedrich Jakob Rosenfeld (eds.), *Autonomous versus Domestic Concepts under the New York*

Convention, International Arbitration Law Library, Volume 61, Kluwer Law International, 2021, pp. 123-158.
[Read Here]

Collins, E., "Pre-Tribunal Emergency Relief in International Commercial Arbitration", *Loyola University Chicago International Law Review*, 10 (2013), No. 1, pp. 105-124.
[Read Here]

Colón, J.M. and Knoll, M.S., "Prejudgment Interest in International Arbitration", *Transnational Dispute Management*, 6 (2007), p. 1-23.
[Read Here]

Commandeur, M. and Gößling, S., "The determination of mandatory rules of law in International Arbitration – An attempt to set out criteria", *SchiedsVZ=German Arbitration Journal*, 12 (2014), No. 1, pp. 12-20.
[Read Here]

Corapi, D., "Iura novit curia nell'arbitrato internazionale", in Parisi, N. and Draetta, U. (eds.), *Scritti in onore di Ugo Draetta*, Napoli, Editoriale Scientifica, 2011, pp. 159-169.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Court Control on Arbitral Awards: Public Policy, Uniform Application of EU Law and Arbitrability (Chapter 12)", *Stockholm arbitration yearbook*, 2 (2020), pp. 199-216.
[Read Here]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Foundation, limits and scope of party autonomy", in Franco Ferrari and Diego P. Fernández Arroyo (eds.), *Private international law: contemporary challenges and continuing relevance*, Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA, USA, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2019, pp. 71-100.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Balancing Arbitrability and Court Control", in Horatia Muir Watt, Lucia Bíziková, Agatha Brandão de Oliveira and Diego Fernández Arroyo (eds.), *Global Private International Law, Adjudication Without Frontiers*, Elgar,2019, pp. 82-91.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "EU Overriding Mandatory Provisions and the Law Applicable to the Merits", *European international arbitration review*, 8 (2019), No. 2, pp. 85-113.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G. and Fernández Arroyo, D.P., "Private International Law and International Commercial Arbitration: a Dialogue about the Usefulness and Awareness of the Former for the Latter", in Ruiz Abou-Nigm, V. and Noodt Taquela,

M.B., (eds.), *Diversity and Integration in Private International Law*, Edinburgh, Edinburgh University Press, 2019, pp. 310-324.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Soft Law as a Replacement or Corrective of National Law in Investment Arbitration?", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 201-216.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "The Arbitral Tribunal's Power in Respect of the Parties' Pleadings as a Limit to Party Autonomy: on Jura Novit Curia and Related Issues", in Ferrari, F. (ed.), *Limits to party autonomy in international commercial arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2016, pp. 289-330.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Limitations on Party Autonomy in International Commercial Arbitration", in: *Recueil des cours = Collected courses of The Hague Academy of International Law*, 2015, pp. 129-326.
[Read Here]

Cordero-Moss, G. and Behn, D., "The Relevance of the UNIDROIT Principles in Investment Arbitration", *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme*, 19 (2014), No. 4, pp. 570-608.
[Read Here]

Cordero-Moss, G., "International Arbitration is Not Only International", in: Giuditta Cordero-Moss (ed.), *International Commercial Arbitration: Different Forms and Their Features*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 7-39.
[Place Hold]

Cordero-Moss, G., "Legal Capacity, Arbitration and Private International Law", in: K. Boele-Woelki, D. Girsberger, T. Einhorn and S. Symeonides (ed. *Convergence and Divergence in Private International Law - Liber Amicorum Kurt Siehr*, The Hague, Eleven International Publishing, 2010, pp. 619-633.
[Read Here]

Cole, T., "Authority and Contemporary International Arbitration", *Louisiana law review*, 70 (2010), No. 3, pp. 801-856.
[Read Here]

Crawford, J., "Challenges to Arbitrators in ICSID Arbitration", in: David D. Caron, Stephan W. Schill, Abby Cohen Smutny, Epaminontas E. Triantafilou (eds.), *Practising Virtue: Inside International Arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp., 596-606.
[Read Here]

Crawford, J., "Similarity of Issues in Disputes Arising under the Same or Similarly Drafted Investment Treaties", in Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), *Precedent in international arbitration: IAI Seminar, Paris - December 14, 2007*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2008, pp. 97-103.
[Place Hold]

Cristani, F., "The "Sempra" Annulment Decision of 29 June 2010 and Subsequent Developments in Investment Arbitration Dealing with the Necessity Defence", *International Community Law Review*, 15 (2013), No. 2, pp. 237-253.

[Read Here]

Czernich, D., "The Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement, *Austrian yearbook on international arbitration*, (2015), pp. 73-86.

[Read Here]

D.

Contents

Daele, K., "Saint Gobain v Venezuela *and* Blue Bank v Venezuela: The Standard for Disqualifying Arbitrators Finally Settled and Lowered", *ICSID Review - Foreign Investment Law Journal*, 29 (2014), No. 2, pp. 296-305.
[Read Here]

Daele, K., *Challenge and Disqualification of Arbitrators in International Arbitration* Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2012.
[Read Here]

Dahlquist, J., "The First Known Investment Treaty Emergency Arbitration: TSIKInvest v. The Republic of Moldova, SCC Emergency Arbitration No EA 2014/053, 29 April 2014 (Kaj Hobér)", *The journal of world investment & trade*, 17 (2016), No. 2, pp. 261-271.
[Read Here]

Darwazeh, N., "Is Efficiency an Arbitrator's Duty or Simply a Character Trait? (Chapter 7)", in: Patricia Louise Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds.), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 57-64.
[Read Here]

Dasser, F.J., *"Soft Law" in International Commercial Arbitration*, Pocketbooks of The Hague Academy of International Law, Leiden, Brill| Nijhoff, 2021.
[Place Hold]

Dasser, F.J., *"Soft law" in international commercial arbitration*, In Recueil des cours, Tome 402 de la collection, Hague Academy of International Law, 2019, pp.385-596.
[Read Here]

Dasser, F., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, Soft Law in International Commercial Arbitration – A Critical Approach (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2019 (2019), pp. 111–127.
[Read Here]

Davies, J., "The Inherent Powers of International Arbitral Tribunals to Exclude Party-Appointed Expert Witnesses", *International arbitration law review*, 23 (2020), No. 4, pp. 298-322.
[Place Hold]

De Ly, F., Friedman, M. and Radicati di Brozolo, L., "Introduction to the International Law Association International Commercial Arbitration Committee's Report and

Recommendations on "Ascertaining the Contents of the Applicable Law in International Commercial Arbitration"", *Arbitration international: the journal of LCIA worldwide arbitration*, 26 (2010), No. 2, pp. 191-192.
[Read Here]

De Ly, F., and Sheppard, A., "ILA Final Report on Res Judicata and Arbitration", *Arbitration International*, 2009, Vol. 25, No. 1, P. 67-82.
[Read Here]

De Brabandere, E., "Arbitral Decisions as a Source of International Investment Law", in Tarcisio Gazzini, Eric De Brabandere (eds.), *International Investment Law: the Sources of Rights and Obligations*, Leiden, Nijhoff, 2012, pp. 245-288.
[Read Here]

De Nanteuil, A. and Pauly, C., "Provisional Measures in ICSID Arbitration: To Be (Binding) or Not to Be?", *Les cahiers de l'arbitrage = Paris Journal of International Arbitration* (2018), No. 2, pp. 219-237
[Place Hold]

Derains, Y. and Lévy, L. (eds.*), Is arbitration only as good as the arbitrator? Status, powers and role of the arbitrator*, Paris, International Chamber of Commerce, 2011.
[Place Hold]

Derains, Y., "Le professionnalisme des arbitres internationaux", *Revue de l'arbitrage*, (2019), No. 3, pp. 659-684.
[Read Here]

Derains, Y., "L'ordre public et le droit applicable au fond du litige dans l'arbitrage international", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 1986 (1986), No.3, pp. 375–414.
[Read Here]

Dharmananda, K., "The unconscious choice: reflections on determining the lex arbitri", *Journal of international arbitration*, 19 (2002), No. 2, pp. 151-161.
[Read Here]

Demirkol, B. "Supervisory Function of Domestic Courts over International Commercial Arbitration", in: Demirkol, B. *Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration*, Cambridge International Trade and Economic Law, 2017, pp. 199-215.
[Place Hold]

DiMatteo, L.A., "Soft Law and the Principle of Fair and Equitable Decision Making in International Contract Arbitration", *Chinese Journal of Comparative Law* 1 (2013), No. 2, 221-255.
[Read Here]

Di Pietro, D., "The Use of Precedents in ICSID Arbitration: Regularity or Certainty?", *International arbitration law review*, 10 (2007), No. 3, pp. 92-103.
[Place Hold]

Diaz-Cediel, S., "Arbitral interpretation of investment treaties: problems and remedies for the debate on 'legitimacy'", *Revista de Direito Internacional*, vol. 16 (2019), No. 1, pp. 74-89.
[Read Here]

Donovan, D.F., Rivkin, D.V. and Tahbaz, C.K., "Jurisdictional Findings on Provisional Measures Applications in International Arbitration (Chapter 7)", in Neil Kaplan and Michael J. Moser (eds.), *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Choice of Law in International Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles*, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 107-118.
[Read Here]

Donovan, D.F. and Greenwalt, A.K.A., "Mitsubishi After Twenty Years: Mandatory Rules Before Courts and International Arbitrators, in Loukas A. Mistelis and Julian D. M. Lew (eds.), *Pervasive Problems in International Arbitration*, Kluwer Law International, 2006, pp. 11-60.
[Read Here]

Douglas, Z., "Can a Doctrine of Precedent be justified in Investment Treaty Arbitration?" *ICSID review: foreign investment law journal*, 25 (2010), No. 1, pp. 104-110.
[Read Here]

Drahozal, Ch.R., "Diversity and Uniformity in International Arbitration Law", *Emory International Law Review*, 31 (2017), No. 3, pp. 393-414.
[Read Here]

Drličková, K., "The Law Applicable to Arbitration Agreements - "Lex Arbitri" or "Lex Causae" of the Principal Contract?", in Bělohlávek, A.J. (ed.), *Borders of procedural and substantive law in arbitral proceedings: (civil versus common law perspectives)*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2013, pp. 71-87.
[Place Hold]

Duggali, K.A. and Rangachari, R., "A Challenger Approaches: An Assessment of the Prague Rules on the Efficient Conduct of Proceedings in International Arbitration", *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 1, pp. 27-48.
[Read Here]

Dupeyron, C., "Shall National Courts Assist Arbitral Tribunals in Gathering Evidence?", in Andrea Menaker (ed.), *International Arbitration and the Rule of Law:*

Contribution and Conformity, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 19, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 458-480.
[Read Here]

E.

Contents

Earnest, D.L., "The Duty of Arbitrators to Delimitate between Jurisdiction and Admissibility in Investor-State Arbitration: A Developed Consensus or an Enduring Lacuna?", *Law & practice of international courts & tribunals*, 17 (2018), No. 1, pp. 135-144.
[Read Here]

Ehle, B., "Emergency Arbitration in Practice", in Christoph Müller and Antonio Rigozzi (eds.), *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration*, Zürich, Schulthess, 2013, pp. 87-109.
[Read Here]

El Chazli, K. and Habib, A., "Interim Measures in International Arbitration: An Arab Perspective", *Yearbook of private international law*, 21 (2019-2020), pp. 259-278.
[Place Hold]

El-Kosheri, A., "Review of ICSID Awards based on a Manifest Excess of Powers", in Emmanuel Gaillard (ed.), *The Review of International Arbitral Awards: IAI Forum, Dijon, 12-14 september 2008*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2010, pp. 265-270.
[Place Hold]

F.

Contents

Fach Gómez, K., *Key Duties of International Investment Arbitrators: a Transnational Study of Legal and Ethical Dilemmas*, Cham, Springer, 2018.
[Read Here]

Fernández Arroyo, D.P., "Arbitrator's Procedural Powers: the Last Frontier of Party Autonomy?", in Ferrari, F. (ed.), *Limits to party autonomy in international commercial arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2016, pp. 199-231.
[Place Hold]

Fernández Arroyo, D.P., "Les décisions arbitrales comme précédent", in Aloupi, N. et Kleiner, C. (eds.), *Le précédent en droit international ; colloque de Strasbourg*, Paris, Editions A. Pedone, 2016, pp. 113-134.
[Place Hold]

Fernández Arroyo, D.P., "Soft Law and Arbitral Procedure: A Conditioned but Inescapable Couple", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 71-86.
[Place Hold]

Ferrari, F., "The Role of the Hague Principles on Choice of Law in Determining the Law Applicable to the Merits in Commercial Arbitration", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 87-99.
[Place Hold]

Ferrari, F. and Cordero-Moss, G. (eds.), *Iura novit curia in international arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2018.
[Read Here]

Ferrari, F. and Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international commercial arbitration*, New York University. Center for Transnational Litigation, Arbitration and Commercial Law, JurisNet, 2019.
[Place Hold]

Ferrari, F. and Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011.
[Read Here]

Ferrari, F. and Rosenfeld, F.J., "Límites a la autonomía de las partes en arbitraje internacional", *Arbitraje: Revista de Arbitraje Comercial y de Inversiones*, 10 (2017), No. 2, pp. 335–386.
[Read Here]

Ferrari, F. and Silberman, L., "Getting to the Law Applicable to the Merits in International Arbitration and the Consequences of Getting it Wrong", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, VII (2010), No. 26, pp. 73-121.
[Read Here]

Florou, A., "Adverse Inferences and Penalty Default Rules in International Investment Arbitration: A Policy Approach to the Production of Evidence", *Journal of international dispute settlement*, 10 (2019), No. 3, pp. 423-442.
[Read Here]

Foden, T. and Repousis, O.G., "Giving away home field advantage: the misguided attack on confidentiality in international commercial arbitration", *Arbitration international*, 35 (2019), No. 4, pp. 401-418.
[Read Here]

Foty, C. and Clanchy, J., "Conflicting Perceptions of Ethics in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 85 (2019), No. 2, pp. 185-202.
[Read Here]

Foustoucos, A., "Conditions Required for the Validity of an Arbitration Agreement", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 5 (1988), No. 4, pp. 113-130.
[Read Here]

Franck, S.D., "The liability of international arbitrators: a comparative analysis and proposal for qualified immunity", *New York Law School Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 20 (2000), No. 1, pp. 1-59.
[Read Here]

Friedland, P.D., "Soft Law and Power", in Stavros Brekoulakis, Julian David Mathew Lew and Loukas Mistelis (eds.), *The Evolution and Future of International Arbitration*, International Arbitration Law Library, Kluwer Law International, 2016, pp. 341–344.
[Read Here]

Friedland, P. and Brumpton, P., "Rabid Redux: the second Wave of Abusive ICSID Annulments", *American University International Law Review*, 27 (2012), No. 4, pp. 727-760.
[Read Here]

Fry, J.D. and Stampalija, J.I., "Forged Independence and Impartiality: Conflicts of Interest of International Arbitrators in Investment Disputes", *Arbitration international*, 30 (2014), No. 2, pp. 189-264.
[Read Here]

Fry, J., "The Emergency Arbitrator - Flawed Fashion or Sensible Solution", *Dispute Resolution International*, 7 (2013), No. 2, pp. 179-197.
[Read Here]

Fung, J. and Perez, B., "The Unprecedented Arrangement Between the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong Relating to Interim Measures", *Asian Dispute Review*, 22 (2020), No. 1, pp. 31-35.
[Read Here]

G.

Contents

Gaillard, E., "Les vertus de la méthode des règles matérielles appliquées à la convention d'arbitrage (les enseignements de l'affaire Kout Food)", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 2020 (2020), No.3, pp. 701-726.
[Read Here]

Gaillard, E., "Interim and Emergency Measures of Protection (BCDR Rules 2017, Arts 26 & 14)", *BCDR International Arbitration Review,* 4 (2017), No. 2, pp. 297 – 322.
[Read Here]

Gaillard, E., and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), *Annulment of ICSID Awards*, New York, NY, Juris Publishing, 2004.
[Place Hold]

Gaillard, E., "Les vertus de la méthode des règles matérielles appliquées à la convention d'arbitrage (les enseignements de l'affaire Kout Food)", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 2020 (2020), No.3, pp. 701-726.
[Read Here]

Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), *Precedent in international arbitration: IAI Seminar, Paris - December 14, 2007*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2008.
[Place Hold]

Gaillard, E. and Leleu-Knobil, N. (eds.), *Enforcement of arbitration agreements and international arbitral awards: the New York Convention in practice*, London, Cameron May, 2008, pp. 503-522.
[Place Hold]

Garimella, S.R. and Sooksripaisarnkit, P., "Emergency Arbitrator Awards: Addressing Enforceability Concerns Through National Law and the New York Convention", in: Katia Fach Gomez and Ana M. Lopez-Rodriguez (eds.), *60 Years of the New York Convention: Key Issues and Future Challenges*, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 67–84.
[Read Here]

Garnuszek, A., "The Law Applicable to the Contractual Assignment of an Arbitration Agreement", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 82 (2016), No. 4, pp. 348-355.
[Read Here]

Gessel-Kalinowska vel Kalisz, B. and Czech, K., "The Role of Precedent in Investment Treaty Arbitration", *Arbitration: the journal of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, 85 (2019), No. 2, pp. 162-168.

[Read Here]

Ghaffari, A. and Walters, E., "Emergency Arbitrator: The Dawn of a New Age?", *Arbitration international*, 30 (2014), No. 1, pp. 153-167.
[Read Here]

Giardina, A., "Issues of Applicable Law and Uniform Law on Interest: Basic Distinctions in National and International Practice", in Filip J.M. De Ly and Laurent Lévy (eds.), *Interest, Auxiliary and Alternative Remedies in International Arbitration*, Dossiers of the ICC Institute of World Business Law, Volume 5, Kluwer Law International, 2008, pp. 129-165.
[Read Here]

Giardina, A., "International Conventions on Conflict of Laws and Substantive Law", in Albert Jan Van den Berg (ed.), *Planning Efficient Arbitration Proceedings: The Law Applicable in International Arbitration*, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 7, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 1996, pp. 459-470.
[Read Here]

Giaretta, B., "Duties of Arbitrators and Emergency Arbitrators under the SIAC Rules", *Asian international arbitration journal*, 8 (2012), No. 2, pp. 196-221.
[Read Here]

Giaretta, B., "The practice of emergency arbitration", *b-Arbitra Belgian Review of Arbitration*, (2017), No. 1, pp. 83 – 105.
[Read Here]

Gibson, C. and Drahozal, C. "Iran-United States Claims Tribunal Precedent in Investor-State Arbitration", *Journal of international arbitration*, 23 (2006), No. 6, pp. 521-546.
[Read Here]

Girsberger, D., "The law applicable to the assignment of claims subject to an arbitration agreement", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 379-406.
[Read Here]

Giovannini, T., "International Arbitration and Jura Novit Curia - Towards Harmonization", in: Miguel Angel Fernandez-Ballester and David Arias Lozano (eds.), *Liber Amicorum Bernardo Cremades*, Wolters Kluwer España, La Ley, 2010, pp. 495-509.
[Read Here]

Glick, I. and Venkatesan, N., "Choosing the Law Governing the Arbitration Agreement", in Kaplan, N. and Moser, M. J. (eds.), *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and*

Choice of Law in Internatoinal Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles, Kluwer Law International (2018), pp. 131-150.
[Read Here]

Goldbeck von, A., "L'indemnisation du non-respect des clauses compromissoires", Revue internationale de droit comparé: revue trimestrielle publiée avec le concours du C.N.R.S. et sous les auspices du Centre Français de Droit Comparé, 70 (2018), No. 2, pp. 237-247.
[Place Hold]

Goldberg, D., Kryvoi, Y. and Philippov, I., *Empirical Study: Provisional Measures in Investor-State Arbitration* (2019), 42 pp.
[Read Here]

Goldstein, M.J., "A Glance into History for the Emergency Arbitrator (2016 Fordham International Arbitration & Mediation Conference Issue)", *Fordham international law journal*, 40 (2017), No. 3, pp. 779-797.
[Read Here]

Gómez-Iglesias Rosón, L., "Iura novit curia y principio de contradicción: su aplicación en el arbitraje en España", *Arbitraje: revista de arbitraje comercial y de inversiones*, 9 (2016), No. 1, pp. 45-95.
[Read Here]

Gonzalez, F., "La responsabilité délictuelle dans les sentences arbitrales de la Chambre de commerce internationale", Bulletin de la Cour Internationale d'Arbitrage de la CCI, 13 (2002), No. 2, pp. 41-57.
[Place Hold]

Gordillo Fernández de Villavicencio, A., "Dealing with Non-Existing Claimants: Challenges and Solutions", in Carlos González-Bueno (ed.), *40 under 40 International Arbitration*, 2018, pp. 199–210.
[Read Here]

Gotanda, J.Y., "Awarding Interest in International Arbitration", *American journal of international law*, 90 (1996), No.1, pp. 40-63.
[Read Here]

Graffi, L., "The law applicable to the validity of the arbitration agreement: a practitioner's view", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 19-62.
[Read Here]

Greenberg, S., "Le standard de la preuve en arbitrage international", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, (2020), No. 1, pp. 113–132.

[Read Here]

Greenwood, L., "Revisiting Bifurcation and Efficiency in International Arbitration Proceedings", *Journal of international arbitration*, 36 (2019), No. 4, pp. 421-429.
[Read Here]

Greineder, D., "The Limitations of Soft Law Instruments and Good Practice Protocols in International Commercial Arbitration", *ASA bulletin*, 36 (2018), No. 4, pp. 907–912.
[Read Here]

Grigera Naón, H.A., "Private international law and arbitration: arbitral determination of the law or rules of law governing the merits", in Franco Ferrari and Diego P. Fernández Arroyo (eds.), *Private international law: contemporary challenges and continuing relevance*, Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA, USA, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2019, pp. 484-496.
[Place Hold]

Guillaume, G., "Can Arbitral Awards Constitute a Source of International Law under Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice?", in Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), *Precedent in international arbitration: IAI Seminar, Paris - December 14, 2007*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2008, pp. 105-112.
[Place Hold]

Guillaume, G., "The Use of Precedent by International Judges and Arbitrators", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 2 (2011), No. 1, pp. 5-23.
[Read Here]

Gupta, R., "Res Judicata in International Arbitration: Choice of Law, Competence & Jurisdictional Court Decisions", *Asian International Arbitration Journal*, 16 (2020), No. 2, pp. 193-220.
[Read Here]

Guzman, A.T., "Arbitrator Liability: Reconciling Arbitration and Mandatory Rules", *Duke Law Journal*, 49 (2000), No. 5, pp. 1279-1334.
[Read Here]

H.

Contents

Habegger, P., "The Arbitrator's Duty of Efficiency: A Call for Increased Utilization of Arbitral Powers (Chapter 13)", in: Patricia Louise Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds.), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 123-136.
[Read Here]

Haersolte-van Hof, J. J. v., "International arbitration and the lex arbitri", *Arbitration international*, 31 (2015), No. 1, pp. 27-62.
[Read Here]

Halket, T.D., "International Arbitration: Meeting Today's Challenges to Prepare for the Future", *Asian Dispute Review*, 22 (2020), No. 1, pp. 4-9.
[Read Here]

Hanefeld, I., "Limits to Party Autonomy Imposed by European Mandatory Law", *European international arbitration review*, 8 (2019), No. 1, pp. 113-127.

[Place Hold]

Hanotiau, B., *Complex Arbitrations: Multi-party, Multi-contract, Multi-issue – A comparative Study*, Kluwer Law International, 2020.
[Read Here]

Hanotiau, B., "The Law Applicable to Arbitrability", *Singapore Academy of Law journal*, 26 (2014), special issue, pp. 874-885.
[Read Here]

Hanotiau, B. "The Law Applicable to Arbitrability", in Albert Jan Van den Berg (ed.), *Improving the Efficiency of Arbitration Agreements and Awards: 40 Years of Application of the New York Convention*, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 9, Kluwer Law International, 1999, pp. 146-167.
[Read Here]

Haugeneder, F., "The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability, Party-Appointed and Tribunal-Appointed Experts in International Arbitration", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2020 (2020), pp. 177–198.
[Read Here]

Henderson, A., "Lex Arbitri, Procedural Law and the Seat of Arbitration", *Singapore Academy of Law journal*, 26 (2014), pp. 886-910.
[Read Here]

Henriques, D.G., "The Prague Rules: Competitor, Alternative or Addition to the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration?", *ASA bulletin*, 36 (2018), No. 2, pp. 351-363.
[Read Here]

Henry, M. and Silva Romero, E., "La preuve dans l'arbitrage: Prague Rules v. IBA Rules", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, (2020), No.1, pp. 71-87.
[Read Here]

Hepburn, J., "The UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts and Investment Treaty Arbitration: a Limited Relationship", *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 64 (2015), No. 4, pp. 905-933.
[Read Here]

Hess, R.U., "Nemo Iudex in Sua Causa and the Challenge Procedure under the UNCITRAL Model Law", *New York University journal of international law and politics*, 50 (2018), No. 4, pp. 1431-1442.
[Read Here]

Hill, J., "Is an Interim Measure of Protection Ordered by an Arbitral Tribunal an Arbitral Award?" *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 9 (2018), No.4, pp. 590-608.
[Read Here]

Hill, J., "Determining the Seat of an International Arbitration: Party Autonomy and the Interpretation of Arbitration Agreements", *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 63 (2014), No. 3, pp. 517-534.
[Read Here]

Hirsch, L., "Swiss Practice of Interim Relief in International Arbitration", *Yearbook of private international law*, 21 (2019-2020), p. 237-258.
[Place Hold]

Hobér, K., "Emergency Arbitration in Stockholm", *Scandinavian Studies in Law*, 63 (2017), pp. 69-94.
[Place Hold]

Heuzé, V, Libchaber, R. et Vareilles-Sommières, P. de (eds.), *Mélanges en l'honneur du Professeur Pierre Mayer: liber amicorum*, Issy-les-Moulineaux, LGDJ, Lextenso Éditions, 2015.
[Place Hold]

Hoder, L., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, Prague Rules vs. IBA Rules: Taking Evidence in International Arbitration (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2019 (2019), pp. 157–177.
[Read Here]

Hodges, P. "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, The Proliferation of 'Soft Laws' in International Arbitration: Time to Draw the Line?", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration* 2015, (2015) pp. 205–229.
[Read Here]

Hollander, P., "Report on the Concept of 'Arbitrability' under the New York Convention", *Dispute resolution international: the journal of the Dispute Resolution Section of the International Bar Association*, 11 (2017), No. 1, pp. 47-65.
[Read Here]

I.

Contents

International Law Association International Commercial Arbitration Committee's Report and Recommendations on "Ascertaining the Contents of the Applicable Law in International Commercial Arbitration"", *Arbitration international: the journal of LCIA worldwide arbitration*, 26 (2010), No. 2, pp. 193-2020.
[Read Here]

J.

Contents

Jacquet, J-M., "La "lex arbitrii" dans l'arbitrage commercial international: mythe ou réalité?" In: Denis Alland, Vincent Chetail, Olivier de Frouville and Jorge E. Viñuales (eds.), *Unité et diversité du droit international: écrits en l'honneur du professeur Pierre-Marie Dupuy*, Leiden, Boston, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2014, pp. 669-688.
[Read Here]

Jaime, M.-L., "Counsel Conduct in International Arbitration: An Ethical 'No-Man's Land'?", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2019), No. 3, pp. 211-229.
[Read Here]

Jones, D., "Choosing the Law or Rules of Law to Govern the Substantive Rights of the Parties", *Singapore Academy of Law journal*, 26 (2014), special issue, pp. 911-941.
[Read Here]

Jourdan-Marques, J., "Action extracontractuelle et arbitrage", *Revue de l'arbitrage,* (2019), No. 3, pp. 685-741.
[Read Here]

K.

Contents

Kamath, A., "The Path to Becoming a Modern International Arbitrator: Implications for Diversity and Systemic Legitimacy", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 87 (2021), No. 3, pp. 298–318.

[Read Here]

Kap-You (Kevin) Kim and Bhushan Satish, "Legal Criteria for Granting Relief in Emergency Arbitrator Proceedings: Where Are We Now and Where Do We Go from Here?", in: Neil Kaplan and Michael J. Moser (eds.), *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Choice of Law in International Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 175–192.
[Read Here]

Karaşahin, Y.A., "Contractual Time Limits to Commence Arbitration", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 2, pp. 209-256.
[Read Here]

Karrer, P.A., "The Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement", *Singapore Academy of Law journal*, 26 (2014), special issue, pp. 849-873.
[Read Here]

Karton, J. D. H., "The Arbitral Role in Contractual Intepretation", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 6 (2015), No. 1, pp. 4-41.
[Read Here]

Kaufmann-Kohler, Gabrielle and Potesta, Michele, *The Composition of a Multilateral Investment Court and of an Appeal Mechanism for Investment Awards*.
CIDS Supplemental Report, 15 November 2017.
Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3457310
[Read Here]

Kaufmann-Kohler, G., "La codificación y la normatividad del "soft law" en el arbitraje internacional", in Basedow, J. (ed.), *¿Como se codifica hoy el derecho comercial internacional?*, Asunción, La Ley [etc.], 2010, pp. 107-127.
[Place Hold]

Kaufmann-Kohler, G., "Soft Law in International Arbitration: Codification and Normativity", *Journal of international dispute settlement*, 1 (2010), No. 2, pp. 283-299.
[Read Here]

Kaufmann-Kohler, G., "Arbitral Precedent: Dream, Necessity or Excuse? The 2006 Freshfields Lecture", *Arbitration International*, 23 (2007), No. 3, pp. 357-378.
[Read Here]

Kaufmann-Kohler, G., "Interpretation of Treaties: How Do Arbitral Tribunals Interpret Dispute Settlement Provisions Embodied in Investment Treaties?', in Loukas A. Mistelis and Julian David Mathew Lew (eds.), *Pervasive Problems in International Arbitration*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2006, pp. 257-276.
[Read Here]

Kessedjian, C., "Transnational Public Policy", in: Albert Jan Van den Berg (ed.), *International Arbitration 2006: Back to Basics? ICCA Congress Series*, Kluwer Law International, 2007, pp. 857-870.
[Read Here]

Khodykin, R. Mulcahy, C. and Fletcher, N., (ed.), *A Guide to the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2019.
[Read Here]

King, B., "Party Autonomy, the "Right" to Appoint, and the Rise of Strategic Challenges", *European international arbitration review*, 8 (2019), No. 1, pp. 31-66.
[Place Hold]

Kiyak, D., "Law applicable to the extension of the arbitration agreement to non-signatories", *The American review of international arbitration*, 29 (2018), No. 3, pp. 267-291.
[Read Here]

Kleinheisterkamp, J., "Overriding mandatory laws in international arbitration", *International and comparative law quarterly*, 67 (2018), No. 4, p. 903-930.
[Read Here]

Knoll-Tudor, I., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, Emergency Arbitration: Evidence and Practice from Seven Arbitral Institutions (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2019 (2019), pp. 249-276.
[Read Here]

Koepp, J. and Turner, D., "A Massive Fire and a Mass of Confusion: Enka v. Chubb and the Need for a Fresh Approach to the Choice of Law Governing the Arbitration Agreement", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 38 (2021), No. 3, pp. 377-394.

[Read Here]

Koh, S.Y, "The Use of Emergency Arbitrators in Investment Treaty Arbitration", *ICSID review: foreign investment law journal*, 31 (2016), No. 3, pp. 534-548.
[Read Here]

Kubalczyk, A.M., "Evidentiary Rules in International Arbitration: A Comparative Analysis of Approaches and the Need for Regulation", *Groningen journal of international law*, 3 (2015), No.1, pp. 85-109.
[Read Here]

L.

Contents

Lacson, A., "Final and Binding: towards a Transnational Theory of Issue Preclusion", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2019), No. 4, pp. 388-399.
[Read Here]

Lal, H. and Casey, B., "Ten Years Later: Why the 'Renaissance of Expedited Arbitration' Should Be the 'Emergency Arbitration' of 2020", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 3, pp. 325–340.
[Read Here]

Lalive, P., "Irresponsibility in International Commercial Arbitration", *Asia Pacific Law Review*, 7 (1999), No. .2, pp. 162-176.
[Read Here]

Lalive, P., "Ordre public transnational (ou réellement international) et arbitrage international", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 1986 (1986), No. 3, pp. 329-374.
[Read Here]

Lamm, C.B., Hellbeck, E.R. and Riesenberg, D.P., "The Two Annulment Decisions in Amco Asia and "Non-application" of Applicable Law by ICSID Tribunals", in: David D. Caron, Stephan W. Schill, Abby Cohen Smutny, Epaminontas E. Triantafilou (eds.), *Practising Virtue: Inside International Arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 689-705.
[Read Here]

Landbrecht, J. and Wehowsky, A.R., "Transnational Coordination of Setting Aside and Enforcement of Arbitral Awards: A New Treaty and Approach to Reconciling the Choice of Remedies Concept, the Judgment Route, and the Approaches to Enforcing Awards Set Aside?" *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 6, p. 679-719.
[Read Here]

Landbrecht, J., "Strong by Association: Arbitration's Policy Debates, Mandatory Rules, and PIL Scholarship", *ASA bulletin*, 37 (2019), No. 2, pp. 305-315.
[Read Here]

Landbrecht, J. and Wehowsky, A., "Determining the law applicable to the personal scope of arbitration agreements and its "extension"", *ASA bulletin*, 35 (2017), No. 4, pp. 837–859.
[Read Here]

Landrove, J., *Assignment and Arbitration: A Comparative Study*, Sellier (2009).
[Place Hold]

Lau, C., "Chapter 2: Assignments 'Mid-Arbitration': Some Practical Considerations", in Kaplan, N. and Moser, M., *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Choice of Law in International Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles* (2018), pp. 18-29.
[Read Here]

Leeuwen, M. van, "Pride and Prejudice in the Debate on Arbitrator Independence", in: Christoph Muller and Antonio Rigozzi (eds.), *New Developments in International Commercial Arbitration*, Zürich, Schulthess, 2013, pp. 1-39.
[Read Here]

Lembo, S. and Hari, A.C., "International Arbitration in Switzerland and Foreign Bankruptcy: Where Do We Stand?', *ASA Bulletin*, 32 (2014), No. 4, pp. 735–757.
[Read Here]

Levine, J., "Ethical Dimensions of Arbitrator Resignations: General Duties, Specific Quandaries, and Sanctions for Suspect Withdrawals", *Law & practice of international courts & tribunals*, 18 (2019), No. 1, pp. 55-73.
[Read Here]

Lew, J.D.M., "Iura novit curia and Due Process", in Lévy, L. et Lazareff, S. (eds.), *Liber amicorum en l'honneur de Serge Lazareff*, Paris, Pedone, 2011, pp. 397-417.
[Read Here]

Lew, J.D.M., "The Law Applicable to the Form and Substance of the Arbitration Clause", in Albert Jan Van den Berg (ed.), *Improving the Efficiency of Arbitration Agreements and Awards: 40 Years of Application of the New York Convention*, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 9, Kluwer Law International, 1999, pp. 114-145.
[Read Here]

Loizou, S., "Revisiting the Content-of-Laws Enquiry in International Arbitration", *Louisiana law review*, 78 (2018), No. 3, pp. 811-876.
[Read Here]

López Rodríguez, A.M., "Time Limits in Times of COVID-19: Suspensions and Extensions of Time Limits as Overriding Mandatory Rules in International Commercial Arbitration", *World arbitration and mediation review*, 13 (2019), No. 1, p. 1-39
[Place Hold]

Loquin, E., "L'application par les tribunaux arbitraux internationaux des règles de droit qu'ils estiment appropriées", in Vincent Heuzé, Rémy Libchaber, Pascal de Vareilles-Sommières (eds.), *Mélanges en l'honneur du Professeur Pierre Mayer: liber amicorum*, Issy-les-Moulineaux, LGDJ, Lextenso Éditions, 2015, pp. 533-545.

[Place Hold]

Lucca, R.R.d., "Iura novit curia nas arbitragens", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, 13 (2016), No. 50, pp. 54-78.
[Read Here]

Lundstedt, J., SCC Practice: Emergency Arbitrator Decisions (1 January 2010 – 31 December 2013)
[Read Here]

Luttrell, S., "ICSID provisional measures 'in the round'", *Arbitration International*, 31 (2015), No. 3, pp. 393–412.
[Read Here]

Lüth, F. and Wagner, Ph.K., "Soft Law in International Arbitration - Some Thoughts on Legitimacy", *StudZR*, 3 (2012), pp. 409-422.
[Read Here]

M.

Contents

Ma, W. J-M. and Boo, L., "Autonomous Arbitrability? Whose Autonomy? Whose Arbitrability? (Chapter 12)", in Franco Ferrari and Friedrich Jakob Rosenfeld (eds.), *Autonomous Versus Domestic Concepts under the New York Convention*, International Arbitration Law Library, Volume 61, Kluwer Law International 2021, pp. 299-314.
[Read Here]

Ma, W.J-M., "Conflicting Conflict of Laws in International Arbitration? Choice of Law for Arbitration Agreement in Absence of Parties' Choice", in Farrar J., Lo V., Goh B. (eds.) *Scholarship, Practice and Education in Comparative Law*, 2019, pp. 137-154.
[Read Here]

Magnusson, A., Ragnwaldh, J., et al. (eds.), *International Arbitration in Sweden: A Practitioner's Guide (Second Edition)*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2021.
[Read Here]

Malintoppi, L. and Yap, A., "Challenges of Arbitrators in Investment Arbitration: still Work in Progress?", in Yannaca-Small, K. (ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements: a guide to the key issues*, Oxford, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press, 2018, pp. 153-182.
[Read Here]

Maniatis, M.A., Dorobantu, F. and Nunez, F, "A Framework for Interest Awards in International Arbitration", *Fordham journal of international law*, 41 (2017), No. 4, pp. 821-935.
[Read Here]

Mantakou, A., "The Misadventures of the Principle *Jura Novit Curia* in International Arbitration – A Practitioner's Approach", *Essays in Honour of Spyridon Vl. Vrellis*, Atenas, 2014, pp. 487-498.
[Read Here]

Mantilla-Serrano, F., "Towards a Transnational Procedural Public Policy", *Arbitration International*, 20 (2004), No. 4, pp. 333-353.
[Read Here]

Marboe, I.M., "Yukos Universal Limited (Isle of Man) v The Russian Federation: Calculation of Damages in the Yukos Award: Highlighting the Valuation Date, Contributory Fault and Interest", *ICSID Review*, *Foreign Investment Law Journal*, 30 (2015), No. 2, pp. 326-335.
[Read Here]

Marisi, F., "Independence and Impartiality: The Role of Soft Law in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2015), No. 4, pp. 326-345.
[Read Here]

Markert, L. and Rawal, R., "Emergency Arbitration in Investment and Construction Disputes: An Uneasy Fit?", *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 1, pp. 131–142.
[Read Here]

Markert, L., "Arbitrating in the Financial Crisis: Insolvency and Public Policy versus Arbitration and Party Autonomy - Which Law Governs", *Contemporary Asia Arbitration journal*, 2 (2009), No. 2, pp. 217-245.
[Read Here]

Martínez-Fraga, P.J., "Desarrollo del equilibrio entre la autonomía de las partes y el poder discrecional privativo del árbitro en la práctica probatoria del arbitraje internacional", *Arbitraje: revista de arbitraje comercial y de inversiones*, 11 (2018), No. 2, pp. 365-402.
[Read Here]

Matthew, O., and Yap, A., "Assignee's right and obligation to arbitrate under Singapore Law: A missed opportunity by the Court of Appeal?", Indian Journal of Arbitration Law, V (2016), No. 2, pp. 177-187.
[Read Here]

Mavunduse, D. and Andersen, C.B., "Party autonomy in international commercial arbitration: a look at freedom, delimitation and judicalisation", *International trade law & regulation*, 25 (2019), No. 2, pp. 92-116.
[Place Hold]

Mayer, P., "Effect of International Public Policy in International Arbitration (Chapter2)", in Loukas A. Mistelis and Julian David Mathew Lew (eds.), *Pervasive Problems in International Arbitration, International Arbitration Law Library*, Volume 15, Kluwer Law International, 2006, pp. 61–69.
[Read Here]

Mayer, P. and Sheppard, A., "Final ILA Report on Public Policy as a Bar to Enforcement of International Arbitral Awards", *Arbitration International*, 19 (2003), No. 2, pp. 249-263.
[Read Here]

Mayer, P., "Recommandations de l'Association de Droit International sur les Recours a l'Ordre Public en tant que Motif de Refus de Reconnaissance ou d'Exécution des Sentences Arbitrales Internationales", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, 2002 (2002), No.4, pp. 1061-1068.
[Read Here]

Mayer, P., "L'application par l'arbitre des conventions internationales de droit privé", in Bernard Audit (red.) et al., *L'internationalisation du droit: mélanges en l'honneur de Yvon Loussouarn*, 1994, pp. 275-291.
[Place Hold]

Mayer, P., *L'autonomie de l'arbitre international dans l'appréciation de sa propre compétence*, Recueil des cours: Collected courses of The Hague Academy of International Law. 1989-Vol 217, pp.319-454.
[Read Here]

Mbengue, M.M., "Brèves réflexions sur quatre visages du (non?) stare decisis en arbitrage d'investissement", in: Aloupi, N. et Kleiner, C. (eds.), *Le précédent en droit international; colloque de Strasbourg*, Paris, Editions A. Pedone, 2016, pp. 341-345.
[Place Hold]

Mehren, G.M. von, Salomon, C.T., "Submitting evidence in an international arbitration: the common lawyer's guide", *Journal of international arbitration*, 20 (2003), No. 3, pp. 285-294.
[Read Here]

Meier, A. and Mcgough, Y., "Do Lawyers Always Have to Have the Last Word? Iura Novit Curia and the Right to Be Heard in International Arbitration: an Analysis in View of Recent Swiss Case Law", *ASA bulletin*, 32 (2014), No. 3, pp. 490-507.
[Read Here]

Meier, F., "Evidentiary Privileges in International Arbitration - How to Determine What Rules Apply?", in Favalli, D [... et al.] (eds.), *Selected Papers on International Arbitration*, Berne, Stämpfli, 2012, pp. 139-176.
[Place Hold]

Menaker, A.J. and Hellbeck, E., "Piercing the Veil of Confidentiality: the Recent Trend towards Greater Public Participation and Transparency in Investment Treaty Arbitration", in Yannaca-Small, K. (ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements: a guide to the key issues*, Oxford, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press, 2018, pp. 183-219.
[Read Here]

Menon, S., "Adjudicator, Advocate, or Something in Between? Coming to Terms with the Role of the Party-appointed Arbitrator", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 34 (2017), No. 3, pp. 347–372.
[Read Here]

Metzler, E., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, The Tension Between Document Disclosure and Legal Privilege in International Commercial Arbitration –

An Austrian Perspective (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2015 (2015), pp. 231-276.
[Read Here]

Mistelis, L., "Reality Test: Current State of Affairs in Theory and Practice Relating to "Lex Arbitri"", *The American review of international arbitration*, 17 (2006), No. 2, pp. 155-181.
[Read Here]

Mistelis, L.A. and Brekoulakis, S.L., *Arbitrability: international & comparative perspectives*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2009.
[Read Here]

Mistelis, L. A and Lew, J. D. M., *Pervasive Problems in International Arbitration*, Kluwer Law International (2006).
[Read Here]

Möckesch, A., *Attorney-client privilege in international arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2017.
[Read Here]

Molina Esteban, C., "Bifurcation of ICSID Awards and Reconsideration of Interlocutory Decisions: The Fine Balance of Procedural Economy", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 87 (2021), No.1, pp. 3-24.
[Read Here]

Moses, M.L., "Public Policy under the New York Convention: National, International, and Transnational", in Katia Fach Gomez and Ana M. Lopez-Rodriguez (eds.), *60 Years of the New York Convention: Key Issues and Future Challenges*, 2019, pp. 169–184.
[Read Here]

Moses, M.L., "Inherent and Implied Powers of Arbitrators", in Julio César Betancourt (ed.), *Defining Issues in International Arbitration: Celebrating 100 Years of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016, pp. 209-221.
[Read Here]

Mosk, R.M. and Ginsburg, T., "Evidentiary Privileges in International Arbitration", *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 50 (2001), No. 2, pp. 345-385.
[Read Here]

Mouland, S.T., "Enforcing International Arbitral Authority in National Courts", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 86 (2020), No. 1, pp. 39–49.

[Read Here]

Moura Vicente, D., "La aplicación del principio iura novit curia en el arbitraje internacional", *Arbitraje: revista de arbitraje comercial y de inversiones*, 10 (2017), No. 1, pp. 15-40.
[Read Here]

Mourre, A., "Arbitral Institutions and Professional Organizations as Lawmakers", in Jean Engelmayer Kalicki and Mohamed Abdel Raouf (eds.), *Evolution and Adaptation: The Future of International Arbitration*, ICCA Congress Series, Volume 20, Kluwer Law International, International Council for Commercial Arbitration, 2019, pp. 86–111.
[Read Here]

Mourre, A., "About Procedural Soft Law, the IBA Guidelines on Party Representation and the Future of Arbitration", in: Patricia Louise Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds.), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer*, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 239-250.
[Read Here]

Mourre, A., "Precedent and Confidentiality in International Commercial Arbitration: The Case for the Publication of Arbitral Awards", in Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), Precedent in international arbitration: IAI Seminar, Paris - December 14, 2007, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2008, pp. 39-65.
[Place Hold]

Mourre, A., "Soft law as a condition for the development of trust in international arbitration", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, 13 (2016), No. 51, pp. 82-98.
[Read Here]

Mullerat, R. and Blanch, J., "The Liability of Arbitrators: A Survey of Current Practice", *Dispute Resolution International*, 1 (2007), No. 1, pp. 99-123.
[Read Here]

N.

Contents

Naegeli, G., "The Capacity of a Bankrupt Party to Be or Remain a Party to International Arbitral Proceedings: A Landmark Decision of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court", *ASA Bulletin*, 31 (2013), No. 2, pp. 372–382.
[Read Here]

Nazzini, R., "The Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement: Towards Transnational Principles", *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 65 (2016), No. 3, pp. 681-703.
[Read Here]

Nelson, T.G., Henin, P.F. and Nairn, K., "Evidentiary Inferences: Do Choice of Law and Seat Make a Difference? (Chapter 10)", in Neil Kaplan and Michael J. Moser (eds.), *Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Choice of Law in International Arbitration: Liber Amicorum Michael Pryles*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 151-174.
[Read Here]

Newman, L.W. and Radine, M.J. (eds.), *Soft law in international arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2014.
[Place Hold]

Norton, P.M., "The Role of Precedent in the Development of International Investment Law", *ICSID review*; vol. 33 (2018), No. 1, pp. 280–301.
[Read Here]

O.

Contents

Obersteiner, T., "Provisional Measures Under ICSID Rules: The Power of Tribunals to Interfere with Domestic Criminal Proceedings", *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 5, pp. 607-634.
[Read Here]

Öhlberger, V. and Pinkston, J., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, Iura Novit Curia and the Non-Passive Arbitrator: A Question of Efficiency, Cultural Blinders and Misplaced Concerns About Impartiality (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, (2016), pp. 101-117.
[Read Here]

Ohlrogge, L. and Borchardt, D., "Aspectos práticos sobre pedidos de exibição de documentos em arbitragens internacionais à luz das regras da IBA", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XVIII (2021), No. 70, pp. 46–78.
[Read Here]

P.

Contents

Papeil, A., "Conflict of overriding mandatory rules in arbitration", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 341-377.
[Read Here]

Paraguacuto-Mahéo, D. and Lecuyer-Thrieffry, C., "Emergency Arbitrator: a New Player in the Field - The French Perspective (2016 Fordham International Arbitration & Mediation Conference Issue)", *Fordham international law journal*, 40 (2017), No. 3, pp. 749-778.
[Read Here]

Park, W.W., "Rules and Reliability: How Arbitrators Decide", in: Tony Cole, *The Roles of Psychology in International Arbitration*, International Arbitration Law Library, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 3-20.
[Read Here]

Park, E.Y. and Richardson, J., "Rush to Judgment: Speed v Fairness in International Arbitration," *Asian Dispute Review*, 18 (2016), No. 4, pp. 174–180.
[Read Here]

Paulsson, J., "International Arbitration and the Generation of Legal Norms: Treaty Arbitration and International Law", in Berg van den, A.J.d. (ed.), *International Arbitration 2006: back to basics?* Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2007, pp. 879-889.
[Read Here]

Pauker, S.A., "Substance and procedure in international arbitration", *Arbitration International*, 36 (2020), No. 1, pp. 3-66.
[Read Here]

Paulsson, J., "The Role of Precedent in Investment Treaty Arbitration", in Yannaca-Small, K. (ed.), *Arbitration under international investment agreements: a guide to the key issues*, Oxford, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press, 2018, pp. 81-100.
[Place Hold]

Penadés Fons, M.A., and Tent Alonso, P., "The New York Convention and the Enforcement of Arbitration Agreements by National Courts: What Level of Review?", in: Katia Fach Gomez and Ana M. Lopez-Rodriguez (eds.), *60 Years of the New York Convention: Key Issues and Future Challenges*, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 3–18.
[Read Here]

Petruzzino, S., "The Validity of the Arbitration Agreement and Res Judicata Effects in the Case of Bifurcated Proceedings", in Bělohlávek, A.J. and Rozehnalová, N. (eds.), *Arbitral awards and remedies*, The Hague, Lex Lata, 2018, pp. 185-206.
[Place Hold]

Petsche, M., "Restrictive Interpretation of Investment Treaties: A Critical Analysis of Arbitral Case Law", *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 1, pp. 1-26.
[Read Here]

Petsimeris, C., "The Scope of the Doctrine of Arbitrability and the Law under which it is Determined in the Context of International Commercial Arbitration", *Revue hellénique de droit international,* 58 (2005), No. 2, pp. 435-451.
[Read Here]

Pettibone, P.J., "The Prague Rules on the Efficient Conduct of Proceedings in International Arbitration: Are They an Alternative to the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration?", *Asian dispute review*, 21 (2019), No. 1, pp. 13-17.
[Read Here]

Picanyol, E., "Due Process and Soft Law in International Arbitration", *Spain Arbitration Review*, 2015 (2015), No. 24, pp. 29-62.
[Read Here]

Pietrowski, R., "Evidence in International Arbitration", *Arbitration international*, 22 (2006), No. 3, pp. 373-410.
[Read Here]

Pika, M., "Transnational Res Judicata and Third-Party Effects Before Arbitral Tribunals (Chapter 8)", in Maximilian Pika, *Third-Party Effects of Arbitral Awards: Res Judicata Against Privies, Non-Mutual Preclusion and Factual Effects*, International Arbitration Law Library, Volume 49, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 233–286.
[Read Here]

Pika, M., *Third-Party Effect of Arbitral Awards: Res Judicata against Privies, Non-Mutual Preclusion and Factual Effects*. Wolters Kluwer, 2019.
[Read Here]

Pilawa, D., "Sifting through the Arbitrators for the Woman, the Minority, the Newcomer (Note)", *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 51 (2019), No. 1-2, pp. 395-435.
[Read Here]

Pinsolle, Ph., "A French View On The Application of The Arbitration Agreement to Non-Signatories (Chapter 12)", in: Stavros Brekoulakis, Julian DM. Lew and Loukas

A. Mistelis (eds.), *The Evolution and Future of International Arbitration*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2016, pp. 209–218.
[Read Here]

Pinsolle, Ph., "'Manifest' Excess of Power and Jurisdictional Review of ICSID Awards", in: Federico Ortino, Audley Sheppard and Hugo Warner (eds.), *Appeals and Challenges to Investment Treaty Awards: is it Time for an International Appellate System? The Relationship between Local Courts and Investment Treaty Arbitration*, London, British Institute of International and Comparative Law, 2006, pp. 51-57.
[Read Here]

Pislevik, S., "Precedent and development of law: Is it time for greater transparency in International Commercial Arbitration?", *Arbitration international*, 34 (2018), No. 2, pp. 241-260.
[Read Here]

Pörnbacher, K. and Baur, S., "Chapter II: The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure, Choice of Law and its Limits in International Arbitration, in Klausegger, C. et al. (eds.), *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration,* pp. 127-147.
[Read Here]

Poudret, J.-F., "Note - Tribunal federal, Ire Cour Civile, 16 octobre 2003, (4P.115/2003); Un statut privilégié pour l'arbitrage aux tiers?", *ASA Bulletin*, 22 (2004), No. 2, pp. 390–397.
[Read Here]

Prado, M.A., "Réflexions sur les sentences incorrectes au fond dans l'arbitrage commercial international", *Journal du droit international*, 148 (2021), No. 2, pp. 541-552.
[Place Hold]

Prekop, R. and Petho, P., "Arbitral Interim Measures – Enforcement Pitfalls", in Bělohlávek, A.J. and Rozehnalová, N. (eds.), *Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards*, The Hague, Lex Lata, 2019, pp. 205-224.
[Place Hold]

Pretelli, I. and Lalani, S., "Switzerland: the Principle Iura Aliena Novit Curia and the Role of Foreign Law Advisory Services in Swiss Judicial Practice", in Nishitani, Y. (ed.), *Treatment of foreign law - dynamics towards convergence?*, Cham, Springer, 2017, pp. 375-393.
[Read Here]

Primrose, B., "Separability and Stage One of the Sulamérica Inquiry", *Arbitration International*, 2017, Volume 33 (2017), No. 1, pp. 139-151.
[Read Here]

Priskich, V., "Binding Non-Signatories to Arbitration Agreements – Who Are Persons 'Claiming Through or Under' a Party?", *Arbitration International*, Vol 35 (2019), No. 2, pp. 375-386.

[Read Here]

Pryles, M., "Reflections on Transnational Public Policy", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 24 (2007), No. 1, pp. 1–7.
[Read Here]

Phua, M. and Chan, M., "The distinctive status of international arbitration agreements in English private international law?" *Arbitration International*, 36 (2020), No. 3, pp. 419-427.
[Read Here]

Q.

Contents

Qiu, D., "A Comparative Analysis of the Approaches used to determine the Four Laws of Commercial Arbitration", in Brekoulakis, S. (ed.), *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management* Sweet & Maxwell (2020), pp. 50-67.
[Read Here]

R.

Contents

Radicati di Brozolo, Luca G., "Party Autonomy and the Rules Governing the Merits of the Dispute in Commercial Arbitration", *European international arbitration review*, 8 (2019), No. 1, p. 67-93.
[Place Hold]

Radicati di Brozolo, L.G., *Applying the Rules Governing the Merits in International Commercial Arbitration: What Role for Inherent Powers?* (December 28, 2017), pp. 1-19.
[Read Here]

Radicati di Brozolo, L.G., "Ethics and the law applicable to the merits in arbitration", in Vincent Heuzé, Rémy Libchaber, Pascal de Vareilles-Sommières (eds.), *Mélanges en l'honneur du Professeur Pierre Mayer: liber amicorum*, Issy-les-Moulineaux, LGDJ, Lextenso Éditions, 2015, pp. 753-769.
[Place Hold]

Radjai, "Confidentiality of Arbitration in Switzerland", in Manuel Arroyo (ed.), *Arbitration in Switzerland: The Practitioner's Guide*, 2nd edition, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2018, pp. 2527–2541.
[Read Here]

Ragno, F., "The Incapacity Defense under Article V(1)(a) of the New York Convention (Chapter 8)", in Franco Ferrari and Friedrich Jakob Rosenfeld (eds.), *Autonomous Versus Domestic Concepts under the New York Convention*, International Arbitration Law Library, Volume 61, Kluwer Law International, 2021, pp. 159-180.
[Read Here]

Rampall, Y. D., Feehily, R., "The Sanctity of Party Autonomy and the Powers of Arbitrators to Determine the Applicable Law: The Quest for an Arbitral Equilibrium", *Harvard Negotiation Law Review*, 23 (2018), No.2, pp. 345-404.
[Read Here]

Raess, L., "Challenging Court Assistance in the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration – the Swiss Perspective", *ASA bulletin*, 37 (2019), No. 4, pp. 902-915.
[Read Here]

Rau, A.S., "Arbitrators and the Interpretation of Contracts", *The American Review of International Arbitration*, 29 (2019), No. 4, pp. 341-384.
[Place Hold]

Reinisch, A. 'Chapter VI: Investment Arbitration - The Role of Precedent in ICSID Arbitration', in Klausegger, C., Klein, P., et al. (eds), Austrian Arbitration Yearbook 2008, *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, Volume 2008, pp. 495 – 510.
[Read Here]

Reis, Christiane Freire de Paula, "Is the arbitrator obliged to follow a judicial precedent in international arbitration? = O árbitro é obrigado a seguir um precedente judicial na arbitragem internacional?" *Revista de direito constitucional e internacional*, 110 (2018), pp. 265-278.
[Place Hold]

Reisman, W.M., "Law, International Public Policy (So-called) and Arbitral Choice in International Commercial Arbitration", in: Albert Jan Van den Berg (ed.), *International Arbitration 2006: Back to Basics? ICCA Congress Series*, Kluwer Law International, 2007, pp. 849-856.
[Read Here]

Reldén, A. and Frank, J., "The Belgor Case: Towards an Extensive Interpretation and Application of Arbitration Agreements (Chapter 5)", *Stockholm arbitration yearbook*, 2 (2020), pp. 65-82.
[Read Here]

Reymond-Eniaeva, E., Towards a uniform approach to confidentiality of international commercial arbitration, Cham, Switzerland, Springer Nature, 2019.
[Read Here]

Ridi, N., "' Mirages of an Intellectual Dreamland'? Ratio, Obiter and the Textualization of International Precedent", *Journal of international dispute settlement*, 10 (2019), No. 3, pp. 361-395.
[Read Here]

Riché, D., "Ne pas suivre les précédents dans l'arbitrage international", in Aloupi, N. et Kleiner, C. (eds.), *Le précédent en droit international; colloque de Strasbourg*, Paris, Editions A. Pedone, 2016, pp. 383-396.
[Read Here]

Riegler, S. and Platte, M., "The Arbitrator - Arbitrators' Liability' (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, 2007 (2007), pp. 105-124.
[Read Here]

Rix, B., "Judicial Review of the Merits of Arbitration Awards under English Law", in Julio César Betancourt (ed.) *Defining Issues in International Arbitration: Celebrating 100 Years of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016, pp. 340-349.
[Read Here]

Rogers, C.A., "The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure: Transparency in Arbitrator Selection (Chapter II)", *Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration*, (2016), pp. 75-85.
[Read Here]

Rogers, C.A., *Ethics in International Arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2014.
[Read Here]

Rogers, C.A. and Jeng, J.C., "The Ethics of International Arbitrators", in Newman, L.W. and Hill, R.D. (eds.), *The leading arbitrators' guide to international arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris Publishing, Inc, 2014, pp. 175-206.
[Place Hold]

Rogers, C.A. "Fit and Function in Legal Ethics: Developing a Code of Conduct for International Arbitration", *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 23 (2002), No. 2, pp. 341-423.
[Read Here]

Rogers, C.A., "The Vocation of the International Arbitrator", *American University International Law Review*, 20 (2005), No. 5, pp. 957-1020.
[Read Here]

Romy, I., "Autonomie des parties et clauses attributives de juridiction dans les contrats internationaux: aspects choisis de droit international privé suisse", *Zeitschrift für schweizerisches Recht*, 137 (2018), No. 2, pp. 87-154.
[Place Hold]

Rosal Carmona, R.C. del, "Lack of Impartiality or Independence as Grounds to Deny Enforcement under the New York Convention", in Katia Fach Gomez and Ana M. Lopez-Rodriguez (eds.), *60 Years of the New York Convention: Key Issues and Future Challenges*, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 137-152.
[Read Here]

Rosenfeld, F., "The Hardening of Soft Law in International Arbitration", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 2, pp. 19-36.
[Place Hold]

Rosenfeld, F., "The Law Applicable to Legal Privilege in International Commercial Arbitration", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 1, pp. 1-21.
[Place Hold]

Rotstein, J., "Before Ending the Case; Disassembling Jurisdiction and Admissibility in BG V. Argentina", *Georgetown journal of international law*, 51 (2019), No. 1, pp. 81-116.
[Read Here]

Roy, A.G., "Allocation of Burden of Proof under Article 17(1) Energy Charter Treaty", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2019), No. 3, pp. 263-275.
[Read Here]

Rubinstein, J.H. and Guerrina, B.B., "The attorney-client privilege and international arbitration", *Journal of international arbitration*, 18 (2001), No. 6, pp. 587-602.
[Read Here]

Runesson, E.M., "A Negotiation Perspective on the Agreement to Arbitrate and Its Completion (Chapter 3)", *Stockholm Arbitration Yearbook*, Volume 2 (2020), pp. 29-42.
[Read Here]

Ryšavý, L., "Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards with Respect to the Independence and Impartiality of the Arbitrator", in Bělohlávek, A.J. and Rozehnalová, N. (eds.), *Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards*, The Hague, Lex Lata, 2019, pp. 225-249.
[Place Hold]

S.

Contents

Sanchez, J.F., "Applying the Model Law's Standard for Interim Measures in International Arbitration", *Journal of international arbitration*, 37 (2020), No. 1, pp. 49-86.
[Read Here]

Sánchez Pos, M.V., "Del juez al árbitro de emergencia. El impacto de la Ley Modelo en la progresiva atribución de la tutela cautelar a los árbitros en la legislación arbitral española y en otras legislaciones estatales", *Arbitraje: revista de arbitraje comercial y de inversiones*, 11 (2018), No. 2, pp. 403-442.
[Read Here]

Sandberg, D., *Jura novit Arbiter? How to apply and ascertain the content of the applicable law in international commercial arbitration in Sweden*, Master Thesis Göteborg University, 2011.
[Read Here]

Santacroce, F.G., "The emergency arbitrator: a full-fledged arbitrator rendering an enforceable decision?", *Arbitration International*, 31 (2015), No. 2, pp. 283-312.
[Read Here]

Santens, A. and Kudrna, J., "The State of Play of Enforcement of Emergency Arbitrator Decisions", *Journal of international arbitration*, 34 (2017), No. 1, pp. 1-15.
[Read Here]

Savola, M., "Interim Measures and Emergency Arbitrator Proceedings", *Croatian arbitration yearbook*, 23 (2016), pp. 73-97.
[Read Here]

Schæffer, S., "Approaches to Arbitrators' Liability: Immunity or Liability?", *Stockholm arbitration yearbook*, 2 (2020), pp. 249-271
[Read Here]

Schaffstein, S., "Chapter 18, Part VIII: Res Judicata in International Arbitration", in Manuel Arroyo (ed), *Arbitration in Switzerland: The Practitioner's Guide*, 2nd edition, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International 2018, pp. 2645 – 2663.
[Read Here]

Schaffstein, S., *Doctrine of "res judicata" before international commercial arbitral tribunals*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016.
[Read Here]

Scherer, M. and Jensen, O., "The Law Governing the Arbitration Agreement: A Comparative Analysis of the United Kingdom Supreme Court's Decision in Enka v Chubb: (zu Enka Insaat ve Sanayi A.S. v OOO Insurance Company Chubb [2020] UKSC 38), *IPRax: Praxis des internationalen Privat- und Verfahrensrechts*, 41 (2021), No. 2, pp. 177-187.
[Place Hold]

Schill, S.W., "Sources of international investment law: multilateralization, arbitral precedent, comparativism, soft law", in Besson, S. and Aspremont d', J. (eds.), *The Oxford handbook on the sources of international law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 1095-1115.
[Read Here]

Schläpfer, A.V., "The Burden of Proof in International Arbitration", in Albert Jan van den Berg (ed.), *Legitimacy: Myths, Realities, Challenges*, Kluwer Law International, 2015, pp. 127-133.
[Read Here]

Schramm, D., Geisinger, E. and Pinsolle, Ph., "Article II", in Herbert Kronke, Patricia Nacimiento [et al.] (eds.), *Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: A Global Commentary on the New York Convention*, 2010, pp. 37-114.
[Read Here]

Schreuer, C., "From ICSID Annulment to Appeal Half Way Down the Slippery Slope", *Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 10 (2011), No. 2, pp. 211-225.
[Read Here]

Schreuer, C.H., Malintoppi, L., Reinisch, A. and Sinclair, A., *ICSID convention: a commentary: a commentary on the Convention on the settlement of investment disputes between states and nationals of other states*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
[Read Here]

Schroeter, U.G., " Mandatory Private Treaty Application? On the Alleged Duty of Arbitrators to Apply International Conventions (Chapter 29)", in: Patricia Louise Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds.), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer,* Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 295–310.
[Read Here]

Secomb, M., *Interest in international arbitration: an economic approach*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2019.
[Read Here]

Secomb, M., "A Uniform, Three-step Approach to Interest Rates in International Arbitration", in: S. Kröll (ed.), *International Arbitration and International Commercial*

Law: Synergy, Convergence and Evolution, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2011, pp. 431-450.
[Read Here]

Sénéchal, T., "Present-Day Valuation in International Arbitration: A Conceptual Framework for Awarding Interest (Chapter 9)", in: Filip J.M. De Ly and Laurent Lévy (eds.), *Interest, Auxiliary and Alternative Remedies in International Arbitration*, Dossiers of the ICC Institute of World Business Law, Volume 5, Kluwer Law International, 2008, pp. 215-232.
[Read Here]

Seraglini, C., "Le contrôle par le juge de l'absence de contrariété de la sentence à l'ordre public international: le passé, le présent, le futur", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, (2020), No. 2., pp. 347-376.
[Read Here]

Seraglini, C., "Les conflits de lois en matière de preuve dans l'arbitrage international", *Revue de l'Arbitrage*, (2020), No. 1, pp. 133–148.
[Read Here]

Shaugnessy, P.L., "The Emergency Arbitrator (Chapter 23)", in: Patricia Shaughnessy and Sherlin Tung (eds.), *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer*, Kluwer Law International, 2017, pp. 339-348.
[Read Here]

Shaughnessy, P.L., "Emergency Arbitration: Justice on the Run", *Scandinavian Studies in Law*, 63 (2017), pp. 319-329.
[Place Hold]

Shaughnessy, P.L., "Pre-arbitral Urgent Relief: The New SCC Emergency Arbitrator Rules", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 27 (2010), No. 4, pp. 337-360.
[Read Here]

Shehata, I.M.N., "Application of Overriding Mandatory Rules in International Commercial Arbitration: An Empirical Analysis", *World arbitration & mediation review*, 11 (2017), No. 4, pp. 383-418.
[Place Hold]

Shirlow, E., "E-Discovery in Investment Treaty Arbitration: Practice, Procedures, Challenges and Opportunities", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 11 (2020), No. 4, pp. 549-588.
[Read Here]

Shore, L., Cheng, T.-H., La Chuisa, J.E., Schaner, L.S. and Senn, M.V.J. (eds.),

International arbitration in the United States, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2018.
[Read Here]

Sicard-Mirabal, J., "Precedential Value of International Arbitral Awards", *Contemporary issues in international arbitration and mediation: the Fordham papers*, (2015), pp. 72-86.
[Place Hold]

Silva Almeida, P.F. da, "Burden and standards of proof in international arbitration: practice and challenges", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XVIII (2021) No. 69, pp. 55–78.
[Read Here]

Sim, C., *Emergency Arbitration*, Oxford, OUP, 2021.
[Read Here]

Singarajah, F., "Has the English Court of Appeal changed its mind on the Proper Law of the Arbitration Agreement?" *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XVII (2020), No. 67, pp. 108-128.
[Read Here]

Smahi, N., "Due Process under the Swiss Rules of International Arbitration", *ASA Bulletin*, 38 (2020), No. 4, pp. 930-952.
[Read Here]

Smahi, N., "The Arbitrator's Liability and Immunity Under Swiss Law – Part II", *ASA bulletin*, 35 (2017), No. 1, pp. 67–83.
[Read Here]

Smahi, N., "The Arbitrator's Liability and Immunity Under Swiss Law – Part I", *ASA bulletin*, 34 (2016), No. 4, pp. 876–896.
[Read Here]

Smiley, Antoine K.F., "An Unwanted Intrusion: Challenging the Appointment of Experts in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2019), No. 4, pp. 360-376.
[Read Here]

Smith, D.C. and Klingler, J., "Arbitrating Arbitrability in the United States: The Incorporation of Institutional Rules into Bilateral Investment Treaties and the Consequences for Challenges to Recognition and Enforcement of Awards under the New York Convention", in Katia Fach Gomez and Ana M. Lopez-Rodriguez (eds.), *60 Years of the New York Convention: Key Issues and Future Challenges*, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 391-408.
[Read Here]

Sourgens, F.G., Duggal, K.A. and Laird, I.A., *Evidence in international investment arbitration*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2018.
[Read Here]

Sousa Rodrigues, B., "Treaty Interpretation in Investor-State Arbitration", *Revista Brasileira de Arbitragem*, XVII (2020), No. 65, pp. 91-108.
[Read Here]

Spigelman, J., "The Centrality of Contractual Interpretation: a Comparative Perspective: (Seventh Kaplan Lecture, 27 November 2013)", in Kaplan, N. (ed.), *International arbitration: issues, perspectives and practice: liber amicorum Neil Kaplan*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2019, pp. 175-199.
[Read Here]

Storskrubb, E., "Emergency Arbitration: A Maturing and Evolving Procedure (Chapter 8)", *Stockholm arbitration yearbook*, 2 (2020), pp. 115-135.
[Read Here]

Suarez Anzorena, C.I., "The Incapacity Defence under the New York Convention", in Gaillard, E. and Leleu-Knobil, N. (eds.), *Enforcement of arbitration agreements and international arbitral awards: the New York Convention in practice*, London, Cameron May, 2008, pp. 615-637.
[Place Hold]

Suarez Anzorena, C.I., "Vivendi v. Argentina: on the Admissibility of Requests for Partial Annulment and the Ground of Manifest Excess of Powers", in: Emmanuel Gaillard and Yas Banifatemi (eds.), *Annulment of ICSID Awards*, New York, NY, Juris Publishing, 2004, pp. 123-175.
[Place Hold]

Sweify, M., "Denationalizing Counsel Ethics in International Arbitration", *World arbitration & mediation review*, 12 (2018), No. 4, pp. 359-394.
[Place Hold]

T.

Contents

Tanzi, A.M., "On judicial autonomy and the autonomy of the parties in international adjudication, with special regard to investment arbitration and ICSID annulment proceedings", *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 33 (2020), No. 1, pp. 57-75.
[Read Here]

Tarawali, N. and Gerardy, P., "The Law Governing the Arbitration Agreement – A Fresh Look at an Old Debate after the UK Supreme Court's Enka Judgment and Recent Clarification by the German Federal Court of Justice", *SchiedsVZ, German Arbitration Journal*, 19 (2021), No. 4, pp. 208-215.
[Read Here]

Taylor, P., Shreya A. and Mozetic, A.M., "Harmonising Cultural Differences in International Arbitration: The Role of Parties' Reasonable Expectations and Counsel's Ethical Rules", *Stockholm arbitration yearbook*, 2 (2020), pp. 43-63.
[Read Here]

Ten Cate, I.M., "The Costs of Consistency: Precedent in Investment Treaty Arbitration", *Columbia journal of transnational law*, 51 (2013), No. 2, pp. 418-478.
[Read Here]

Teramura, N., "Ex Aequo Et Bono, Mandatory Rules of Law and Public Policy: Balancing the Discretion of Private Adjudicators to Decide Fairly Against Constraints Imposed by Nation States", in: Nobumichi Teramura , *Ex Aequo et Bono as a Response to the 'Over-Judicialisation' of International Commercial Arbitration*, 2020, pp. 123–152.
[Read Here]

Thorn, K. and Nickel, M., "The Effect of Overriding Mandatory Rules on the Arbitration Agreement", *European international arbitration review*, 7 (2018), No. 1, pp. 43-70.
[Place Hold]

Thorn, K. and Grenz, W., "The effect of overriding mandatory rules on the arbitration agreement", in Ferrari, F., Kröll, S. (eds.), *Conflict of laws in international arbitration*, Munich, Sellier, 2011, pp. 187-210.
[Read Here]

Timmer, L.J.E., "Manifest Excess of Powers as a Ground for the Annulment of ICSID Awards", *The Journal of World Investment and Trade*, 14 (2013), No. 5, pp. 775-803.
[Read Here]

Timmer, L.J.E., "The Meaning of 'Investment' as a Requirement for Jurisdiction Ratione Materiae of the ICSID Centre", *Journal of International Arbitration*, 29 (2012), No. 4. pp. 363–373.
[Read Here]

Titi, C., "Res Iudicata and Interlocutory Decisions under the ICSID Convention: Antinomies over the Power of Tribunals to Review", *ICSID review*, 33 (2018), No. 2, pp. 358-379.
[Read Here]

Torsello, M., "A New (Quasi-)Codified Lex Mercatoria based on Soft Law Regulatory Competition and the Use of Comparative Law Methodology in International Commercial Arbitration", *Ius Comparatum*, 1 (2020), pp. 211-253.
[Read Here]

Tung, S. H.-l., and Lin, B., "More Transparency in International Commercial Arbitration: To Have or Not to Have." *Contemporary Asia Arbitration Journal*, 11 (2018), no. 1, pp. 21-44.
[Read Here]

U.

Contents

Uchkunova, I. and Temnikov, O., "A Procrustean Bed: Pre- and Post-Award Interest in ICSID Arbitration", *ICSID Review*, 29 (2014), No. 3, pp. 648-668.

[Read Here]

V.

Contents

W.

Contents

Wagner, G. and Koester, J.P., "Originalism meets International Arbitration: the US Supreme Court's Interpretation of the New York Convention", *Journal of international arbitration*, 38 (2021), No. 2, pp. 163-186.
[Read Here]

Waincymer, J.M., *Procedure and Evidence in International Arbitration*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Wolters Kluwer, 2012.
[Read Here]

Wälde, T., "Confidential Awards as Precedent in Arbitration: Dynamics and Implication of Award Publication", in Gaillard, E. and Banifatemi, Y. (eds.), *Precedent in international arbitration: IAI Seminar, Paris - December 14, 2007*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2008, pp. 113-136.
[Place Hold]

Walters, G., "Fitting a Square Peg into a Round Hole: Do Res Judicata Challenges in International Arbitration Constitute Jurisdictional or Admissibility Problems?', *Journal of International Arbitration*, 29 (2012), No. 6, pp. 651-680.
[Read Here]

Wang, W., "International arbitration: the need for uniform interim measures of relief", *Brooklyn journal of international law*, 28 (2003), No. 3, pp. 1059-1099.
[Read Here]

Warwas, B.A., *The Liability of Arbitral Institutions: Legitimacy Challenges and Functional Responses*, The Hague, Asser Press, 2016.
[Read Here]

Willinski, P., *Excess of Powers in International Commercial Arbitration: Compliance with the Arbitral Tribunal's Mandate in a Comparative Perspective*, The Hague, Eleven International Publishing, 2020.
[Place Hold] [Read Here] thesis

X.

Contents

Y.

Contents

Yaffe, N., "Transnational Arbitral Res Judicata", *Journal of international arbitration*, 34 (2017), No. 5, pp. 795-833.
[Read Here]

Yang, I., "Procedural public policy cases in international commercial arbitration", in: International Center for Dispute Resolution, *Handbook on international arbitration and ADR*, Huntington, NY, Juris, 2017, pp. 171-192.
[Place Hold]

Yang, F., "The proper law of the arbitration agreement: Mainland Chinese and English law compared', *Arbitration International*, 33 (2017), No.1, pp. 121-137.
[Read Here]

Yesilirmak, A., "Provisional Measures", in Mistelis, L.A. and Lew, J.D. (eds.), *Pervasive problems in international arbitration*, The Hague, Kluwer Law International, 2006, pp. 185-200.
[Read Here]

Yu, H., "Who Is in? Who Is out? How the UNCITRAL Transparency Rules Can Influence the Upcoming Amendments of the ICSID Arbitration Rules", *Contemporary Asia arbitration journal*, 11 (2018), No. 1, pp. 45-72.
[Read Here]

Yu, H.-L. and Shore, L., "Independence, Impartiality and Immunity of Arbitrators: US and England Perspectives", *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 52 (2003), No. 4, pp. 935-967.
[Read Here]

Z.

Contents

Zaugg, N., "Objective scope of res judicata of arbitral awards – Is there room for discretion?", *ASA bulletin*, 35 (2017), No. 2, pp. 319–333.
[Read Here]

Zharikov, A., "Conflicts and Ethics in International Arbitration", *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 85 (2019), No. 1, pp. 36–48.
[Read Here]

Ziyaeva, D., (ed.), *Interim and emergency relief in international arbitration*, Huntington, New York, Juris, 2015.
[Place Hold]